A Comprehensive Overview: Keynesian Theory of Income

Mr. Yelahanka Lokesh
Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce And Economics, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id-lokesh.yr@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

A key economic theory called the multiplier examines the connection between changes in total spending and their effects on the level of economic activity as a whole. It is a key idea in macroeconomics and offers perceptions into the amplifying effects of different economic shocks and governmental actions. An overview of the main ideas, workings, and ramifications of the multiplier concept is given in this chapter. It explores the multiplier's importance in comprehending aggregate demand dynamics, the efficacy of fiscal policy, and the general operation of an economy.

KEYWORDS:

Deposit Multiplier, Fiscal Policy, Government Spending, Multiplier Effect, National Income.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the Keynesian theory of income, output, and employment, the idea of a Multiplier has a significant role. Keynes adapted R.F. Kahn's concept of a multiplier to describe how more investment would affect employment. Keynes clarified how a modest increase in investment relates to an eventual rise in income. Employment is dependent on effective demand, which is dependent on consumption and investment (Y = C + I), according to J.M. Keynes.In the short term, the consumption function (or willingness to consume) is steady, and the marginal propensity to consume is below one. Therefore, at the rate at which income increases, not all of the increase in income leads to an increase in consumption. As a result, a discrepancy between earnings (production) and consumption is produced, which requires further investment. Keynes thought that an initial rise in investment would result in a multiplication of final revenue. The relationship between an initial increase in investment and an eventual rise in total revenue is thus demonstrated by the investment multiplier. In other words, the ratio between the increase in investment that leads to the income increase and the final increase in national income expresses the quantitative relationship between the two. Y = K. I in mathematics[1], [2].

Y represents for the change in income, K for the multiplier, and I for the investment. So, K = Y I can be used to express the multiplier coefficient. K, thus, is equal to the proportion of rising income to rising investment, which multiplies rising income. Therefore, if economic investment rises by Rest. 1 crore and national income rises by rest. 5 crore, the multiplier will be 5. This is due to the fact that economic investment increases demand for goods from other sectors whose products are needed by persons employed in investment industries, as well as the revenue of the first business where the investment was made. The multiplier's value is influenced by marginal inclination to consume, it should be highlighted. Depending on how big or tiny the MPC is, the multiplier is also big or small. The multiplier's value lies within the

Multiplier's Importance

The multiplier is a significant development in economic theory. It is a crucial tool for the creation of numerous economic strategies in addition to having theoretical significance. Investment has been emphasized as the main dynamic component of the economy. Investment aids in generating several streams of income as well as immediately creating jobs for the economy. The multiplier concept's

adoption has made the value of public investment in the economy even more clear. This shows that a modest increase in investment leads to a significant rise in both investment and employment. The multiplier is useful for analyzing issues related to the economic cycle. In economic analysis, the multiplier notion is very crucial. Realistically, it is seen that not all of the increase in income is used for spending. As a result, the marginal propensity to consume is never one. This is brought on by a number of income stream leakages that delay the spread of income[3], [4]. These leaks are explained as follows:

1. Saving: One of the main revenue stream leaks is saving. Saving a portion of income growth limits the multiplier's effect. It follows that the multiplier value will decrease the bigger the saving.

2. Repayment of Past Debts: A portion of the income obtained by the populace is utilised to settle past debts, which lowers available funds for consumption and, consequently, the multiplier's value.

3. Imports: If there are more imports than exports, some of the increased income is used to raise income in foreign nations. Over time, the growth in international income will contribute to a rise in export demand, which will benefit the income of the country importing goods. It could, however, also not take place. Imports are significant leaks because of this.

4. Inflation: Price inflation causes reduced income growth rather than an increase in consumption, income, and employment.

5. Hoarding: Holding idle cash reserves is referred to as hoarding. This type of leakage is significant. Consumption expenditure decreases and the multiplier value decreases if consumers have a high desire for liquidity or a high want for money to be held in cash.

6. Stock and Security Purchases: People also have a tendency to buy older stocks and securities when their income rises. Consumption expenditure decreases as a result. The value of the multiplier is constrained by such a monetary investment. Therefore, it is evident that these income leaks substantially impede the economy's ability to grow.

Multiplier for Fractional Reserve Money

Let's say a person deposits $100,000 in a bank savings account. The bank can lend the remaining portion of the deposit to a third party because it only needs to keep a portion of that money on hand to cover deposits. Let's say the bank lends a small construction company $75,000 from the original deposit, and they use it to construct a warehouse. The quantity of additional demand deposits or money produced by the first deposit will be 1/R or 1/.25 = 4 times over time if the bank continues to lend up to its required reserve ratio R=25%, which is commonly referred to as the Money Multiplier. The money invested by the construction company is used to pay roofers, plumbers, electricians, and other contractors to build it. These parties then proceed to use the money received in a way that serves their own interests. For the investor, the bank, the building business, and the contractors who built the warehouse, the $100,000 has generated a profit. Keynes developed the term multiplier to describe the effect because his theory shown that investment multiplied, raising revenues for many parties [5], [6].

The money multiplier and the deposit multiplier are commonly misunderstood or mistaken for one another. Despite their close ties, the two names cannot be used interchangeably. The deposit multiplier and the money multiplier would be nearly identical if banks lent out all of their excess capital and all of the money that borrowers borrowed from banks was spent. The deposit multiplier, which can be thought of as the maximum potential money creation through the multiplied effect of bank lending, is never greater than the money multiplier, which represents the actual multiplied change in a country's money supply created by loan capital above and beyond bank reserves.

II. DISCUSSION

A multiplier is a proportionality factor used in macroeconomics to quantify how much an endogenous variable changes in response to an alteration in an exogenous variable. Assume, for instance, that variable x changes by k units, causing variable y to change by M k units. The multiplier is then M. The topic of two multipliers is frequently covered in introductory macroeconomics. Particularly under the

global fractional-reserve banking system, commercial banks produce money. In this arrangement, each time a bank makes a new loan, money is created. This is so that the loan may be counted as part of the money supply after it has been drawn on and used, which often results in a deposit back into the banking system. The remaining portion of these deposits is available for the bank to use as collateral for additional loans after a portion has been set aside as required bank reserves. The multiplier effect is a numerous repetition of this process. The multiplier may differ between nations, and it may also differ based on the types of monetary units being used. Think of M2, which represents the money supply in the United States, and M0, which represents the monetary base. The money multiplier is 10 if an increase in M0 of $1 by the Federal Reserve results in a rise in M2 of $10.

Principal Concept: Fiscal Multiplier to examine the effects of fiscal policy or other exogenous changes in spending on total output, multipliers can be determined.The expenditure multiplier is 1.5, for instance, if an increase in government spending in Germany of €100 results in an increase in GDP of €150 with no change in tax rates. Fiscal multipliers that describe the consequences of modifying taxes (such as lump-sum taxes or proportional taxes) can also be calculated. Hansen-Samuelson and Keynesian multipliers Multiplier-accelerator model in the main Keynesian economists frequently construct multipliers that just account for the impact on overall demand. To be exact, the typical Keynesian multiplier formulas quantify the amount by which an exogenous change in expenditure causes the IS curve to move to the left or right [1], [7].

Limitation

1. Consumer Goods Availability: The process of generating income is dependent on the availability of consumer goods. The process of generating money would be intensified and the multiplier would have a high value if, with an increase in income, consumer products were readily available in adequate quantities. However, if there is a lack of consumer items, income receivers will be unable to increase their consumption, which will cause the MPC and, thus, the multiplier, to drop. Thus, the multiplier's value is constrained by the accessibility of consumer products.

2. Maintenance of Investment: The national income can only be raised to multiplier level and preserved or maintained there if the increments in investment are repeated at regular intervals in order to obtain a high value of multiplier. The income will increase to the multiplier level with one fresh investment injection, but once the multiplier effect has taken effect and all other factors remain the same, the income will return to its initial level. The national income will therefore reach the multiplier level with a continual infusion of investment, and once there, it will remain. Therefore, investment maintenance is crucial for bigger multiplier values.

3. No Profit Maximization Considerations: The multiplier includes all investment types (public and private), but it particularly applies to loan-financed public investment because it is by definition autonomous, or free from the motive of profit maximization as opposed to private investment, which typically has this motive. Since a consistent infusion is required for the multiplier to positively impact income and employment during depression periods, factors other than profit maximization are crucial to ensuring the multiplier's unhindered operation[8], [9].

4. Multiplier Period: The multiplier period adds yet another crucial condition to the multiplier's operation. The multiplier period is the interval between subsequent consumption expenditures. In other words, it is the time frame in which spending on consumption that is not directly related to new income is included. It has been observed that there is a lag (interval) between income receiving and expenditure as well as between expenditure and income recovery. Although consumers receive extra money, they do not instantly spend it. However, the latency is not that long. We must look at the multiplier period if we want to understand the impact of increased investment on national income. The secondary consumer expenditures are lower and the multiplier value is smaller the longer this period is, and vice versa.

5. Direction of Net Investment: It is obvious that we usually refer to net investment when we discuss the multiplier effects on income as a result of changes in investment. As a result, we must ensure that any investment in one area of the economy does not result in a decrease in investment in another area of

the economy. The multiplier effects would be compromised if this were to occur since a decrease in investment in one sector may lead to a greater loss in the national income. Therefore, while figuring out the multiplier's value, the direction of net investment becomes crucial.

6. Full Employment Ceiling: The restriction imposed by the full employment ceiling further limits the multiplier's efficacy. As long as there are resources in the economy without jobs and the full employment level has not been reached, the output, income, and employment will increase as a result of the multiplier. However, output and employment will stop growing once full employment has been reached, regardless of how high the MPC may be.

7. Investment Impacts of Induced Consumption: The consequences of the initial investment on consumption and consequently on income are what the multiplier is interested in. The impact of induced or increased consumption on investment is not covered. If both effects the multiplier for investment on consumption and the acceleration for consumption on investment were considered, the multiplier's value would be higher and realized sooner. However, multiplier is only interested in how investments affect consumption alone, therefore its value is limited.

8. Closed Economy: Whether an economy is closed or open affects how well a multiplier performs. A closed economy indicates that there is no foreign trade. A leakage occurs when there are more imports than exports during the income propagation through multiplier mechanism.

9. Resource Availability: In addition to manpower, the multiplier's efficient and effective operation also depends on the availability of other production-related factors and resources, such as raw materials, capital equipment, etc. The multiplier's value and performance are inevitably going to be low in its absence.

10. Constant Prices: It presupposes that the prices of goods, raw resources, etc. won't fluctuate. If prices rise, consumption will decline and the multiplier's value would be impacted. These restrictions and qualifications of Keynes' investment multiplier demonstrate how flawed and oversimplified Keynes' interpretation of the income-generation process was. Some commentators expressed skepticism about Keynes' treatment of the multiplier because of its simplicity. According to H. George J. Stigler, the multiplier is the fuzziest part of his General Theory. Since that time, the multiplier has been the main idea that economists have been working to enhance. It is now employed as a tool for both short-run and long-run analyses of changes in income in the economy.

Multiplier Effect

An economic concept known as the multiplier effect describes the proportional increase or decrease in final revenue that happens as a result of a capital infusion or withdrawal. The influence of a change in economic activity, such as investment or expenditure, on a particular object's overall economic output is essentially measured by multipliers effects. The multiplier is the name given to this enhanced effect. Learning about the Multiplier Effect Generally speaking, economists are most curious about how capital infusions enhance income or growth. Many economists think that capital expenditures of any kind, whether made by governments or businesses, will have a significant snowball effect on a number of different facets of economic activity. The multiplier effect, as its name implies, gives a number or estimate of an amplified predicted rise in income per dollar invested. When analyzing and estimating expectations for new capital investments, a number of different analysts employ the multiplier effect. This effect can be seen in a variety of various types of scenarios.

An illustration of the multiplier effect

Assume, for illustration, that a business invests $100,000 to increase the size of its manufacturing facilities so it may increase production and sales. The company's revenue rises by $200,000 after a year of production with the additional facilities functioning at full capacity. The multiplier impact was 2 in this case ($200,000 / $100,000). Simply put, every dollar invested resulted in an additional $2 in income. Many economists think that the impact of additional investments might extend well beyond the reach of the earnings of just one company. As a result, depending on the investment kind, it could have

a significant impact on the economy as a whole. The multiplier, the idea that economic activity may be easily influenced by investments, resulting in more income for businesses, more income for employees, more supply, and eventually increased aggregate demand, is a core premise of Keynesian economic theory. The Keynesian multiplier is a theory that basically holds that the economy will grow as long as the government spends more money, and that the overall effect will be bigger than the actual amount of money spent. To determine the precise effect that changes in investment have on the economy, various economic multipliers can be used.

For instance, when considering a country's entire economy, the multiplier would be the change in real GDP divided by the change in investments, government spending, income changes brought on by changes in disposable income through tax policy, or changes in investment spending changes brought on by changes in monetary policy via changes in interest rates. Estimates for consumption and savings are also frequently taken into account by economists. A slightly different kind of multiplier is used in this situation. Economists may determine how much of the additional money customers are saving versus spending when examining savings and consumption. Consumers' marginal propensity to consume (MPC) is 0.8 if they save 20% of new income and spend 80% of it. Effect of Money Supply Multiplier Bankers and economists frequently consider a multiplier effect in terms of banking and a country's money supply. This multiplier is also known as the money multiplier or the money supply multiplier. The reserve requirement, which is determined by the Federal Reserve, is a component of the money multiplier and is dependent on the total amount of liabilities held by a specific depository institution.

Generally speaking, there are various degrees of money supply throughout the entire American economy. The most well-known ones are: The total amount of physical money in circulation within an economy is referred to as the M1 level. The balances of short-term deposit accounts are added for a summation at the next level, M2. When a consumer deposits money into a short-term deposit account, the bank can lend that amount, less the reserve requirement, to another customer. The monies generated through lending are dependent on the initial deposit, which is still owned by the depositor initially. Even if there is no new physical currency to back the increased amount, the money supply is increased if a second borrower deposits money they received from the lending institution. The banking system of a nation can be used to observe the money supply multiplier effect. An increase in bank lending ought to result in an increase in a nation's money supply. The multiplier's magnitude is determined by the proportion of deposits that banks must retain in reserves.

The money supply reserve multiplier rises when the reserve requirement is reduced and falls the opposite way. A multiplier can happen in several ways and have an effect on various instruments or balances. The following lists the various categories of multipliers. The money multiplier illustrates how commercial banks increase central bank reserves. The deposit multiplier shows how fractional reserve banking can increase deposits by making new loans. The fiscal multiplier calculates the impact that increases in government expenditure will have on the GDP (gross domestic product) of a country. The additional beneficial effect that investment spending has on total income and the overall economy is quantified by the investment multiplier. The earnings multiplier compares the market price of a company's stock to its earnings per share. How much of a company's assets are financed by stock as opposed to debt is determined by the equity multiplier.

Effect of the Multiplier

A multiplier effect has a number of effects on an economy. First off, the economy and economic growth are frequently impacted favorably by the multiplier effect. The multiplier effect can scale programmers and enable more effective use of capital since it is not constrained by the actual amount of money in circulation or in possession. Additionally, multiplier effects may have various effects on economies. When an economic element is specifically linked to an entity, economies first notice direct effects. For instance, a person is considered to have gotten the direct financial impact when the government grants them a tax credit. The indirect impact and the induced impact are also included in the multiplier effect, though. The individual uses their tax benefit to spend money, which is the indirect effect of the

government benefit mentioned above. These funds may be dispersed across a dozen various firms, including those connected to grocery stores, restaurants, car dealerships, or internet sales, rather than sitting idle in one bank account. The final effect brings home the actual value of several impacts. Despite the fact that only one person earned a tax break, other businesses and their staff members did. Consider the situation when the person eats at a restaurant and left a gratuity. The wait staff would now benefit from the tip since they could use it to purchase a handcrafted item from a neighborhood market, which would boost the artist's revenue. A financial instrument may continue to benefit more than one person or entity as money moves through the economy. As a result, it is claimed that the single tax benefit has a multiplier effect on the economy.

Multipliers Work

In general, a multiplier in economics is an economic variable that, when altered, affects a large number of other closely connected economic variables. The phrase often refers to the connection between total national income and government spending. The multiplier effect causes changes in total output to be higher than the change in spending that created them in terms of gross domestic product.

Keynesian Economics Fit the Multiplier Effect

One of the main tenets of Keynesian countercyclical fiscal policy is the multiplier effect. The idea that an increase in government spending eventually results in increased business activity and additional expenditure, which increases total output and increases profits for businesses, is a fundamental element of Keynesian economic theory. This would result in higher wages for employees, more supply, and ultimately higher total demand.

MPC Relate to the Multiplier Effect

The marginal propensity to spend (MPC), which is the percentage of increased income that is spent on consuming, directly affects the multiplier's size. Consumers' MPC would be 0.8 (1 - 0.2) if they save 20% of their new income and spend the remaining amount. 1/ (1 - 0.8) = 5 would be the multiplier. Therefore, every new dollar generates $5 in additional spending. In essence, a business receives income from a consumer's purchase and uses it to pay for equipment, worker wages, energy, materials, acquired services, taxes, and investment returns. The cycle is continued when a worker from that company spends their paycheck.

High Multipliers Beneficial

Each form of multiplier is distinct from the others and frequently has its own success criteria. In general, multipliers with larger values represent stronger economic production or growth. For instance, a higher money multiplier by banks typically indicates that money is being circulated through an economy more frequently and effectively, which frequently results in faster economic growth.

The Multiplier Effect:

Some multiplier effects simply result from metric analysis when two numbers are compared. In other instances, corporate governance or public policy are the causes of the multiplier impact. For instance, the government might set restrictions on the number of times a deposit can be recycled through an economy. These laws are frequently in place to limit the multiplier impact because, in the absence of them, financial institutions can be burdened with excessive risk. Multiplier effects explain how tiny changes in financial resources such the money supply or bank deposits can sometimes be amplified significantly by contemporary economic processes. One of the first to explain how governments may employ multipliers to increase economic growth through expenditure was John Maynard Keynes. The money multiplier or deposit multiplierreffect illustrates how banks can re-lend a portion of their available deposits to boost the amount of money in the economy in fractional reserve banking. Commercial banks have a significant impact on economic results in this way.

Size of Fiscal Multipliers

According to DSGE simulations and SVAR models created since the early 1990s, first-year multipliers typically range between 0 and 1 in normal times. According to this research, spending multipliers are typically greater than revenue multipliers.4 According to Mineshima and colleagues' (2014) analysis of 41 similar research, first-year multipliers for government spending in AEs average 0.75 and 0.25, respectively.According to previous fiscal adjustment plans in AEs, it is assumed that spending measures will account for two thirds of the adjustment, resulting in an overall normal times multiplier of roughly 0.6.However, the more recent work has cast doubt on these conventional findings. First off, some studies have demonstrated that multipliers can go beyond 1 in abnormal situations, particularly when the economy is experiencing a severe downturn or if the use and/or transmission of monetary policy are compromised. Second, some studies indicate higher tax multipliers than those produced by traditional VAR models when identifying exogenous fiscal shocks using a novel narrative method. The conventional belief that expenditure multipliers are greater than revenue multipliers is not supported by these story investigations.

The narrative approach is a methodological advancement over the established method of measuring fiscal shocks. The structural VAR methodology, which uses the output elasticities of expenditure and revenue to screen out automatic stabilizers, may not accurately capture exogenous policy changes because, for instance, changes in revenues are not only caused by output developments and discretionary policy, but also by asset and commodity price movements (IMF, 2010). The narrative technique, on the other hand, aims to directly detect exogenous fiscal shocks. The method on the tax side employs estimates of fiscal measures that were derived from budget papers but it does not include the subset of tax measures that were implemented in reaction to short-term macroeconomic volatility because these would not be exogenous. On the subject of spending, several research have utilised upcoming military spending news as a gauge of exogenous shocks.

According to this theory, military spending is not influenced by worries about the status of the economy but rather by conflicts and changes in foreign polic.According to the scant amount of empirical research that has been done, multipliers in LICs and EMEs are often smaller than those. In certain studies, multipliers are even found to be negative, especially over the long term (IMF, 2008) and in situations of large public debt. Estimates from studies on EMEs and LICs are presented in summary tables in Appendix . Tax multipliers and spending multipliers appear to be equivalent fiscal instruments in EMEs. According to Ilzetzki, short-term spending multipliers, whereas short-term revenue multipliers are between 0.2 and 0.4. The fact that EME spending multipliers are, on average, lower than those of AEs may be due to a number of things, such as inefficient spending, the difficulty of unwinding expenditures with increases more likely to become permanentcomposition effects, or both. Affecting the Size of MultipliersThere are two different categories of factors found in the literature

1. Structural nation traits that affect how the economy reacts to fiscal shocks in normal times; Additionally, there are
2. Contextualtemporary factors that cause multipliers to diverge from normal levels, particularly cyclical or policy-related occurrences.

Features of the Structure

In normal times, the economy's reaction to fiscal shocks is influenced by certain structural factors. Fiscal multipliers are empirically estimated in a variety of ways, yet it is mostly understood how incrementally structural issues affect multipliers. Trade openness is one of the key structural traits. The rigidity of the lab our market. Because rigid wages tend to amplify the output response to demand shocks, nations with more rigid lab our markets that is, nations with stronger unions and/or stronger lab our market regulation have larger fiscal multipliers if such rigidity implies reduced wage flexibility. The size of the auto stabilizers. Since transfers and taxes automatically respond to the original fiscal shock by partially offsetting it, the fiscal multiplier is reduced as the size of the automatic stabilizer increases.

III. CONCLUSION

A key tool in macroeconomic analysis is the multiplier idea, which enables economists and decision-makers to comprehend the amplifying impacts of changes in aggregate spending. The multiplier concept provides insights into the dynamics of aggregate demand and the effectiveness of fiscal policy initiatives by following the chain reactions of expenditure and income generation. The multiplier concept should be fully understood by policymakers to help them create efficient economic policies and negotiate the complexity of economic stabilization and growth. For policymakers, grasping the multiplier notion has enormous ramifications. It aids in evaluating how well fiscal policy initiatives, such increased government spending or tax reductions, stimulate the economy. Policymakers can assess the possible effects of their decisions on output, employment, and income levels by evaluating the multiplier's size. The multiplier idea also sheds light on the constraints of fiscal policy, such as the crowding-out effect, in which higher public spending may displace private investment.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Nomidis, The Mistakes of the Marginal Productivity Theory of Income Distribution, *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3235427.

[2] M. Fleurbaey en F. Maniquet, Optimal income taxation theory and principles of fairness, *Journal of Economic Literature*. 2018. doi: 10.1257/jel.20171238.

[3] Y. Guo en J. Zhou, Experimental tests of the salience theory: disaggregated income statements under two economic states, *Asia-Pacific J. Account. Econ.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/16081625.2016.1266269.

[4] S. Assari, High income protects whites but not african americans against risk of depression, *Healthc.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/healthcare6020037.

[5] A. P. Mueller, The Middle-Income Trap in the Perspective of the Austrian Capital Theory, *MISES Interdiscip. J. Philos. Law Econ.*, 2018, doi: 10.30800/mises.2018.v0.961.

[6] A. Fremstad, Is there a future for sharing? A comparison of traditional and new institutions, *Journal of Institutional Economics*. 2018. doi: 10.1017/S1744137417000297.

[7] V. Indrawan, S. Agoes, H. Pangaribuan, en O. M. J. Popoola, The Impact of Audit Committee, Firm Size, Profitability, and Leverage on Income Smoothing, *Indian-Pacific J. Account. Financ.*, 2018, doi: 10.52962/ipjaf.2018.2.1.42.

[8] Trade Theory, Distribution of Income and Immigration, 2018. doi: 10.1142/9789813208711_0001.

[9] D. J. Jones, R. Loiselle, en A. Highlander, Parent–Adolescent Socialization of Social Class in Low-Income White Families: Theory, Research, and Future Directions, *J. Res. Adolesc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/jora.12392.