A Brief Study on Social Cognitive Theory

Ms. Swati Sharma

Assistant Professor, Masters In Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id-swatisharma@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Albert Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory is a thorough framework that examines the dynamic relationship between people, their behaviour, and the environment. An overview of Social Cognitive Theory, its guiding principles, and its consequences for comprehending human behaviour and learning are given in this abstract. It investigates the fundamental ideas of reciprocal determinism, self-efficacy, and observational learning, which emphasise the importance of cognitive processes, social factors, and individual agency on behaviour. It also explores how Social Cognitive Theory is used in real-world settings in a variety of industries, including psychology, education, and business. Understanding the interaction of cognitive, social, and environmental elements in the development of information, skills, and adaptive behaviour gives useful insights into how people learn.

KEYWORDS:

Agency, Cognitive, Learning, Social, Self-Efficacy Beliefs.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Social Cognitive Theory, created by psychologist Albert Bandura, is an extensive framework that looks at the dynamic interplay between cognitive processes, social factors, and the environment in order to comprehend human behaviour and learning. It places emphasis on how self-efficacy beliefs, reciprocal determinism, and observational learning all influence behaviour.The idea of observational learning, commonly referred to as modelling or vicarious learning, lies at the heart of Social Cognitive Theory. According to Bandura, people pick up knowledge through seeing the activities, attitudes, and outcomes that other people go through. Without having any direct personal experience, individuals may gain information, skills, and attitudes via this approach. The four main mechanisms of observational learning are motivation, reproduction, retention, and attention. Individuals may learn new behaviours and alter their own behaviour depending on the results they see through studying others.Another key idea in the Social Cognitive Theory is self-efficacy. It refers to a person's self-confidence in their capacity to carry out a certain activity or behaviour effectively. Self-efficacy, according to Bandura, has an impact on perseverance, effort, and drive. People who are confident in their abilities are more inclined to take on challenges, put up effort, and persist in the face of difficulties. On the other side, having poor self-efficacy might cause you to lose motivation and be more likely to give up[1], [2].

The third guiding premise of social cognitive theory is reciprocal determinism. It implies that behaviour is impacted by a complex interplay between the individual, the environment, and the behaviour itself. While environmental elements include social, cultural, and physical effects, personal aspects cover thought processes, beliefs, and attitudes. According to reciprocal determinism, people actively influence their circumstances while also being affected by them. This reciprocal link underlines how crucial it is to take into account both internal and exterior elements when attempting to explain human behaviour.Social cognitive theory is used in many different domains. The idea has influenced teaching practises in the field of education by highlighting the value of modelling and offering chances for observational learning. Social cognitive theory has proved helpful in psychology in understanding how psychological problems originate and how to cure them. The theory has clarified how self-efficacy, observational learning, and contextual variables affect employee motivation and performance in organisational behaviour[3], [4].

Researchers and professionals may learn more about the cognitive, social, and environmental influences on behaviour by researching the Social Cognitive Theory. This knowledge enables the creation of treatments, tactics, and methods that encourage positive behaviour change, improve academic results, and boost general wellbeing. Beyond basic stimulus-response correlations, social cognitive theory provides a thorough and complex analysis of human behaviour. It acknowledges the cognitive mechanisms at work that mediate the link between environmental cues and behaviour, including attention, memory, and reasoning processes.The focus placed by the social cognitive theory on how social factors affect behaviour is one of its main features. According to Bandura, people learn not just through their own experiences but also by watching and copying the behaviour of others. Understanding how behaviours, attitudes, and values are passed down across generations and among social groupings is significantly impacted by this[5], [6].

Additionally, the Social Cognitive Theory emphasises the significance of behaviour self-regulation and self-control. It acknowledges that people actively participate in self-monitoring, self-evaluation, and self-reinforcement rather than just acting as passive beneficiaries of external effects. Setting objectives, tracking development, and changing behaviour are all parts of self-regulation. The importance of self-reflection and self-feedback in influencing behaviour and promoting personal development is emphasised.Reciprocal causation is taken into account by Social Cognitive Theory, which acknowledges the dynamic interaction between individual variables, the environment, and behaviour. It recognises that people actively affect their environments via their choices and activities in addition to just reacting to them. This two-way interaction emphasises the significance of context and the interdependence of people and their environment.The Social Cognitive Theory has been extensively used in many different sectors, including organisational behaviour, psychology, healthcare, and education. It has influenced leadership and motivational development, behaviour modification initiatives, and instructional practises. Practitioners may create treatments and programmes that are more likely to produce desirable results by taking the cognitive, social, and environmental elements that affect behaviour into consideration.b By fusing cognitive functions, social influences, and environmental elements, social cognitive theory offers a broad view of human behaviour. It acknowledges how perceptions of one's own competence and reciprocal determinism influence behaviour. Researchers and practitioners may learn more about how behaviours change, how people learn, and how to generate adaptive behaviours by taking these elements into account. In many facets of the human experience, Social Cognitive Theory provides a useful framework for comprehending and encouraging constructive behaviour change [7], [8].

II.   DISCUSSION

The weakest link in organisational endeavours is often the inability to completely take into account the psychological factors that influence human behaviour. The foundation of social cognitive theory is an agentic understanding of human growth, adaptation, and change. Being an agent means directing events via your actions. People use a variety of agency techniques to affect others. Individuals use their own agency to exert influence over things they can directly control. People do not, however, always have direct influence over the circumstances that shape their daily lives. They use proximate agency. To get the results they want, they must exert influence on others who have the power, expertise, and resources to act on their favour. To achieve their goals, children utilise their parents, spouses, employers, and labour unions, while the broader public employs its elected leaders. In the business sector, outsourcing services and product manufacturing to agents in other locations constitutes proxy agency. People don't have completely autonomous lives. Many of the goals they have can only be attained via cooperation. They use their resources, abilities, and knowledge to exert collective agency as they work together to choose their destiny. Different cultures have different combinations of individual, proxy, and group agency. But all three forms are required.of autonomy to get through the day, no matter where one lives. The causal framework for this item iswhich the foundation of social cognitive theory is, explains the sources and manifestations of human agency, and outlines the method by which it interacts with sociostructural factors[9], [10].

Fundamentals

According to social cognitive theory, causality is based on triadic reciprocal causation. According to this triadic codedetermination, human functioning results from the interaction of intrapersonal factors, individual behaviour, and external circumstances that have an impact on them. People have some control over events and the direction their lives go since intrapersonal factors are one of the decisive variables in this triadic interaction. The environment is a multifaceted influence.Three different forms of environments imposed, chosen, and constructed are distinguished by the agentic viewpoint. Whether a person likes it or not, the enforced environment has an impact on them. They do, however, have considerable discretion in how they interpret it and respond to it.Most of the time, the environment is merely a potentiality that cannot exist until it is chosen and engaged. People's actions and settings have a significant impact on who they become and the path their lives follow. Finally, individuals design their surroundings so they may have more influence over their life. Increasing degrees of agentic activity are required as environmental changeability progresses.

The dichotomy that opposes human action against social structure as a reified, disembodied entity is rejected by social cognitive theory. Personal agency and social structure are linked, according to the social cognitive theory of the self and society.Human action results in the creation of social systems.Social agents' adoption of sanctioned norms and behaviours inside social systems has an impact on how people grow and operate.

Mechanisms of Agency

None of the factors underlying human agency is more fundamental or prevalent than people's confidence in their ability to control the outcomes of events that have an impact on their lives. This fundamental conviction serves as the cornerstone of human motivation, performance success, and emotional health. People lack motivation to engage in activities or to persist in the face of challenges unless they feel their efforts may result in the intended results. The fundamental conviction that one has the ability to affect changes via one's behaviour underlies all other elements that operate as a person's guides and motivators.There are four main ways that people acquire their sense of their own effectiveness. Mastery experiences are the most efficient method. Experience in overcoming challenges via persistent effort is necessary for the development of a robust feeling of effectiveness. Social modelling is the second strategy for increasing personal effectiveness. Seeing others who are comparable to oneself achieve through steadfast work increases observers' confidence in their own talents. The third strategy for building efficacy beliefs is social persuasion. People put out more effort that fosters achievement when they are certain they have what it takes to succeed as opposed to when they are self-doubting and focus on their flaws when challenges come. People assess their effectiveness based on their bodily and mental conditions as well.

Self-efficacy beliefs influence how well people perform cognitively, motivate themselves, feel emotionally, and make decisions. Whether a person thinks optimistically or pessimistically, in ways that are self-enhancing or self-debilitating, depends on how effective they believe themselves to be. These attitudes influence people's objectives and ambitions, their capacity for self-motivation, and their ability to persevere in the face of challenges and adversity. Believers in their own abilities also influence whether or not they anticipate positive or negative results from their efforts. Additionally, self-efficacy beliefs impact emotional well-being and susceptibility to stress and depression. Last but not least, people's decisions at crucial decision-making stages are influenced by their perceptions of their own effectiveness. Given that it shapes the social reality that one gets firmly ingrained in, a factor that affects behaviour choices may have a significant impact on the route that lives follow.

When it comes to exercising agency, self-efficacy beliefs work in conjunction with other self-regulatory processes. Through deliberate action, these procedures include the temporal extension agency. Future events cannot be the root of present behaviour. However, whether desired or undesirable, cognitive representations of future states act as compass and motivators in the present. being thrown overA

thinking viewpoint gives one's life direction, coherence, and significance over the long run.The objectives and tasks that people choose to take on serve to drive them and direct their behaviour.Affective self-reactions to one's performances have the power to motivate others. Instead of directly motivating people, goals encourage self-investment in the activity. When someone sets a goal for oneself, they seek self-fulfillment in achieving it and increase their efforts when they are unhappy with their achievement.

Most objectives don't work. This is due to the fact that they are too vague, noncommittal, and generic.The objectives that encourage self-investment in the activity are the most inspiring. They include temporal closeness, degree of difficulty, and explicitness.Explicit objectives define the kind and quantity of work required to achieve, which motivates engagement. Uncertainty exists over the amount of effort that should be mobilised towards general aims. The gratification of simple triumphs is little. Challenging objectives that are within one's grasp with consistent effort promote interest and engrossment in activities.

How far into the future objectives are projected determines how well they work to control motivation. Long-term objectives provide us a glimpse of the future we want. But having a vision alone is insufficient. For distant futures to control present behaviour, there are too many conflicting forces at play in the here and now. People put off doing what has to be done because of far-off aspirations until impending deadlines motivate them to get busy. Short-term objectives provide the roadmaps, tactics, and motivators needed to go forward in the present. The greatest way to maintain self-motivation is to set manageable short-term objectives that result in the accomplishment of worthwhile long-term objectives.

In order to direct and inspire their efforts in advance, people often predict the anticipated results of their acts. Different types of expectations regarding the results exist. They cover the financial expenses and gains of certain courses of action. Additionally, behaviour is influenced by the expected positive and negative social responses it elicits. People are not only passive recipients of outside forces. Another fundamental aspect of agency is the ability of humans to evaluate their own actions. People establish standards and respond to their actions by approving or disapproving of them. Different forms of adaptation are produced by the interactions between these various outcome expectancies.When anticipated external material and social consequences are consistent with self-evaluative ones, they have a major impact. Conflicts of outcomes are often felt by people when they get social or pecuniary rewards for actions that they themselves believe to be negative. When internal consequences surpass the power of incentives from outside sources, they have minimal influence. But if the pull of benefits surpasses self-devaluation, the outcome can be dreary accommodation.

When people are reprimanded for engaging in activities that they really value, a different kind of conflict of outcomes results. Dissenters and nonconformists with strong moral convictions often find themselves in such situations. Whether a course of action will be followed or abandoned depends on how strong the internal and external criticism are in relation to one another. In certain circumstances, the amount of external support and reward for a specific activity is little or nonexistent, therefore people must rely heavily on self-encouragement to keep up their efforts. Innovative people, for instance, persist despite failure again and over again in pursuits that seldom, if ever, bring them rewards or acclaim.To continue, innovators need to be confident enough in their abilities and the value of their goals to give themselves praise for their work.

Human behaviour is also influenced by how individuals interpret the structural elements of their environment, including the barriers it erects and the opportunities it offers. When faced with institutional obstacles, those with low self-efficacy are quickly persuaded that effort is pointless, while people with high self-efficacy find solutions to overcome them.In the exercise of agency, people are not only forward-thinkers and self-regulators. They also analyse how they work on a daily basis. They consider their own effectiveness, the validity of their decisions and actions, and the significance of their goals. If required, they make corrections.

The most uniquely human basic characteristic of agency is the metacognitive capacity to reflect on oneself.

Evolution

When I first started my work, behaviourism had a firm grip on psychology. This understanding of human nature, in my opinion, is at odds with the proactive, self-regulatory, and self-reflective character of people. I committed my efforts to advancing our knowledge of this alternative interpretation of human nature.Building theories requires more effort.process. Clarifying the nature, growth, and purpose of the key aspects of agency discussed in this post was a major focus of social cognition theory's development. The theory's present expansion focuses on how moral agency is used. This gives the workplace and other facets of daily life an essential moral component. Clarifying how individual, proxy, and collective agency act in concert in various social systems and cultural milieus will be the focus of future study areas.The idea may be applied to collective agency, making it generalizable to civilizations with a collectivist orientation.

Importance

Modes of Self-Development and Change

The study of the processes through which abilities, attitudes, values, and behavioural patterns are learned and modified is a key aspect of social cognitive theory. Traditional psychological theories, for the most part, were developed before the dramatic developments in communication technology. They placed a strong emphasis on direct experience-based learning and the impacts that one's immediate social and physical surroundings might have. Learning from one's mistakes is a difficult and time-consuming process. Furthermore, the circumstances and actions that may be directly examined for the development of knowledge and abilities are severely constrained by time, resource, and mobility restrictions.The great ability for learning by observation that humans have developed allows them to quickly acquire new knowledge and skills from information provided by modelling effects.

Trial and error are sped up via social modelling. Indeed, by witnessing people's behaviour and its effects on them, we may practically attain all forms of behavioural, cognitive, and emotional learning that arise from first-hand experience. People acquire the useful behavioural patterns they see in others in daily life and then hone them through experience to match specific situations.A portion of human learning happens via observation of other people's behaviour in one's social context, whether on purpose or accidentally. But nowadays, a lot of observational learning is predicated on the behavioural patterns symbolically depicted in electronic media. The enormous breadth and multiplicative capacity of symbolic modelling contribute to its rising significance. Many individuals in many different places may learn new ways of thinking and acting from a single model. Observers go beyond the confines of their immediate surroundings by drawing on the modelled patterns of thinking and behaviour.

Nowadays, most people's waking hours are spent online. The nature, reach, speed, and locus of human impact are changing as a result of the dramatic advancements in electronic technology. Life in the quickly changing cyberworld transcends space, time, borders, and national boundaries, and it changes how we think about them. The potential for individuals to exert some degree of influence over how they live their lives and the social structures in which they do so are greatly increased and new problems are presented by these emerging realities.

Many people have misunderstandings regarding the nature and use of modelling. One such misunderstanding was the idea that modelling, when taken to mean "imitation," could only result in reaction mimicry. That is not the situation. Examples often vary in substance and other aspects but represent the same fundamental idea. The passive language form, to provide a straightforward example, may be used in a wide range of phrases. Instead of copying individual exemplars, modelling includes abstracting the knowledge provided by those exemplars about the structure and underlying tenets guiding the behaviour. Once people understand the guiding concept, they may use it to create novel behaviours that go beyond what they have previously seen or heard. They may modify their behaviour

to fit shifting conditions. Generic management abilities, for instance, are adjusted to enhance performance in specific organisational contexts via modelling and guided enactments.

Another misunderstanding about the extent of modelling existed. Cognitive abilities to gather and utilise information to solve issues are used in many different activities. Because mental processes are hidden and inadequately mirrored in modelled behaviours, which are the results of cognitive operations, critics said that modelling cannot develop cognitive abilities. Verbal modelling, in which role models verbalise their thinking processes while engaging in problem-solving tasks, is a simple way to teach cognitive abilities to children. This makes the ideas that inform their choices and deeds visible. In comparison to the often used instructional approaches, cognitive modelling is more effective at boosting perceived self-efficacy and developing creative and other complex cognitive abilities.Another common misunderstanding is that modelling is incompatible with creativity. Quite the opposite.Modelling may lead to innovation. The innovativeness of others is increased when unusual thinking is modelled. Synthesising existing information into novel ways of thinking and doing is often a need for creativity. Organisations heavily on selective modelling of what has been shown to work. They take helpful components, enhance them, combine them into new forms, and modify them to fit certain situations. Innovation may certainly be bred by clever selected modelling.

Exercise of Moral Agency

As information and skills are gained and performances are enhanced, the personal standards that serve as the mark of adequacy are gradually transformed in areas of functioning that include accomplishment and productivity. However, internal norms are often consistent in many spheres of social and moral behaviour. People's opinions of what is good or terrible or right or wrong don't alter from week to week.People establish moral norms that act as guidelines and deterrents for behaviour as they create a moral self. People monitor their behaviour and the circumstances in which it happens, assess it in light of their moral standards and the circumstances as they see them, and manage their behaviour by the consequences they assign to themselves. They engage in behaviours that make them feel satisfied and valuable.They avoid acting in ways that go against their moral principles since doing so would make them feel bad about themselves. Thus, moral agency is exerted by enforcing negative self-sanctions for behaviour that contravenes one's moral standards and encouraging positive self-sanctions for behaviour according to one's own moral standards.

Adopting moral principles does not, however, establish an unchangeable internal moral control system.There are several psychological methods by which moral self-sanctions may be selectively disengaged from harmful practises. Self-regulatory systems guiding moral behaviour do not come into action until they are activated. At the behaviour locus, good intentions are utilised to sanctify bad methods via social, economic, and moral justification, exonerative comparison that makes the practises acceptable or even moral, and sanitising any ambiguous language that hides what is being done. People diffuse and relocate personal responsibility at the agency locus, which obscures accountability. This frees them from responsibility for the damage they inflict. At the outcomes locus, offenders downplay, misrepresent, or deny the negative consequences of their behaviour. At the victim locus, offenders dehumanise victims and attribute the abuse to their own fault. Through selective moral disengagement, morally upright individuals injure others without losing their self-respect. Both the individual and the organisational levels are affected by these psychological factors.

Agentic Management of Fortuity

People do a lot of things on purpose to exert some influence over their own growth and life situations. But there is a lot of luck involved in the directions that lives go. In fact, even the most little events may have significant effects on one's life path. Fortunate events often usher individuals into new life paths, marriage relationships, and professional professions. Unintentional meetings between strangers sometimes result in fortuitous situations. Although each of the distinct pathways has its own set of determinants, until they converge, they remain causally disconnected. When it happens, a special confluence of effects is produced by the interaction. The majority of unforeseen circumstances have no lasting influence on individuals, but some may send others along different life paths.Even if unplanned

coincidences happen, the circumstances they produce function as contributing elements in causal processes in the same manner as planned ones. Luck does not always have uncontrollable repercussions. On the chance nature of life, people may have some impact. They may influence chance by leading an active lifestyle that boosts the quantity and variety of chance interactions they will have. Chance favours those who are curious and daring, who travel, act, and engage in novel pursuits. People also use their interests, enabling beliefs, and talents to their advantage. They are able to take advantage of unanticipated possibilities thanks to their own resources. When Pasteur said that "chance favours only the prepared mind," he said it well. People may control how they play the cards that fate hands them by following their interests and abilities, as well as by leading active lives.

III. CONCLUSION

Albert Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory offers a thorough framework for comprehending human behaviour and learning. It places emphasis on how the environment, social factors, and cognitive processes interact to shape behaviour. The theory emphasises the complexity of human behaviour and the elements that affect it via ideas like reciprocal determinism, self-efficacy, and observational learning.Education, psychology, healthcare, and organisational behaviour are just a few of the areas where the application of social cognitive theory is useful. It guides the creation of successful leadership and motivational techniques as well as instructional tactics and interventions for behaviour modification. Practitioners may create treatments and programmes that support good outcomes and promote personal development by taking into account the cognitive, social, and environmental elements that influence behaviour.The benefits of the social cognitive theory are that it acknowledges the significance of human agency, cognitive processes, and social factors in behaviour change. It recognises that learning occurs both directly through experience and indirectly via observation and modelling.

 The theory also emphasises the reciprocal interaction between people and their environment, as well as the importance of self-efficacy beliefs in driving and directing behaviour.It's crucial to recognise Social Cognitive Theory's shortcomings, nevertheless. It may not completely reflect the complexity of human behaviour and the myriad of elements that influence it, despite the fact that it delivers insightful information. The theory also depends on subjective evaluations and self-reported metrics, which might bring biases and limits in study results.The Social Cognitive Theory has still made a substantial contribution to our comprehension of behaviour and learning. Its practical uses have shown how useful it is across a range of fields, offering advice to leaders, educators, psychologists, and anyone looking to advance themselves. Social Cognitive Theory provides a framework for promoting healthy change and development by recognising the interaction between cognitive processes, social factors, and the environment. It also gives a comprehensive view on human behaviour.

REFERENCES

[1]   W. W. LaMorte MD, PhD, MPH, "The social cognitive theory," *Boston University School of Public Health*. 2019.

[2]   L. Middleton, H. Hall, and R. Raeside, "Applications and applicability of Social Cognitive Theory in information science research," *J. Librariansh. Inf. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0961000618769985.

[3]   S. M. Abdullah, "Social Cognitive Theory: A Bandura Thought Review published in 1982-2012," *PSIKODIMENSIA*, 2019, doi: 10.24167/psidim.v18i1.1708.

[4]   S. Wang, K. Hung, and W. J. Huang, "Motivations for entrepreneurship in the tourism and hospitality sector: A social cognitive theory perspective," *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.11.018.

[5]   S. Zhu, H. H. Yang, J. MacLeod, L. Yu, and D. Wu, "Investigating Teenage Students' Information Literacy in China: A Social Cognitive Theory Perspective," *Asia-Pacific Educ. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s40299-019-00433-9.

[6] J. Zhou and T. Fan, "Understanding the factors influencing patient e-health literacy in online health communities (OHCs): A social cognitive theory perspective," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijerph16142455.

[7] N. Peyman, S. B. Tavakoly Sany, M. Nasehnezhad, H. Doosti, C. Chesneau, and G. Ferns, "Associating of mother's health literacy with sunlight protective behaviors of teenage children: application of social cognitive theory," *Int. J. Heal. Promot. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/14635240.2019.1623706.

[8] R. W. Motl, J. E. Sasaki, K. L. Cederberg, and B. Jeng, "Social-cognitive theory variables as correlates of sedentary behavior in multiple sclerosis: Preliminary evidence," *Disabil. Health J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2019.05.002.

[9] M. Rajabalipour, H. Sharifi, N. Nakhaee, and A. Iranpour, "Application of social cognitive theory to prevent waterpipe use in male high-school students in Kerman, Iran," *Int. J. Prev. Med.*, 2019, doi: 10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_235_17.

[10] S. H. Cha and H. K. Ryu, "Factors affecting preference of vegetable in elementary school students: Based on social cognitive theory," *J. Nutr. Heal.*, 2019, doi: 10.4163/jnh.2019.52.3.285.