

# Understanding a Social Movement

**Dr. Akhila Udupa**

Associate Professor, Masters In Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,  
Bangalore, India,

Email Id-akhila.udupa@presidencyuniversity.in

## **ABSTRACT:**

Social movements are coordinated, group activities made by people and organisations with the goal of bringing about social or political change. An overview of the idea of social movements, their traits, and their societal impact is given in this abstract. Social movements are born out of perceived injustices, grievances, or the desire to advance society. They include organising people and organisations around common objectives and using a variety of techniques and tactics to change cultural norms, public opinion, or legislation. Numerous concerns, such as civil rights, environmental preservation, gender equality, and economic justice, may be addressed by social movements. They often push for social change and question current power systems. Understanding social movements is essential to understanding grassroots activism, societal change, and the dynamics of group action. This abstract examines the essential components of social movements, including their history, structure, tactics, and results. It also explores how technology and social media are used in modern social movements. We can learn more about the processes of social change, the strength of collective agency, and the ways that people and groups may influence their environment by studying social movements.

## **KEYWORDS:**

Changes, Groups, Movements, Power, Social.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Social movements are essential for influencing society and bringing about social change. They are collective efforts that arise when groups of people band together to redress alleged wrongdoings, confront pre-existing power systems, and promote social change. These movements may take many different shapes and focus on a variety of concerns, such as economic change, gender equality, environmental justice, and civil rights. Social movements often develop in reaction to societal injustices, inequality, or the desire to advance society for the better [1], [2]. They stand for the collective power of people who are prepared to act to effect change and who have a shared objective. Social movements may change the status quo, bring important topics to the public's attention, and have an impact on public opinion, legislation, and cultural standards through organising individuals. Social movements are characterised by their organisation and group activity. They unite people, groups, and organisations that are dedicated to a cause and ready to participate in a range of actions to further their objectives. Protests, demonstrations, lobbying efforts, grassroots organising, and other actions are examples of this. To increase their visibility and exert pressure on institutions and decision-makers to take action on their issues, social movements often use a variety of techniques and tactics. Transformative change has traditionally been mostly accomplished via social movements. They have sparked campaigns for women's suffrage, labour rights, civil rights, and other significant cultural changes. They have the power to confront systemic injustices, change the way the public speaks, and help build a society that is more fair and equitable [3], [4].

Recent developments in communication and technology have increased the effect of social movements even more. Digital technologies and social media platforms have made it easier to quickly disseminate information, rally supporters, and organise group efforts. They have made it possible for social movements to reach a worldwide audience, cross geographical barriers, and establish online activist networks. For one to understand societal dynamics, grassroots action, and the mechanics of social

change, one must have a solid understanding of social movements. Researchers, decision-makers, and ordinary people may learn more about the force of collective agency, the tactics for successful mobilisation, the difficulties activists encounter, and the results and legacies of social movements by researching them [5], [6].

We shall go into further detail on the essential components of social movements, such as their beginnings, organisational structures, tactics, and results, in the parts that follow. We will look at case studies of significant social movements throughout history and consider how technology and social media are used in today's movements. We can promote social justice and bring about constructive societal change by better understanding the drivers, mechanics, and effects of collective action by studying social movements. Social movements are dynamic, constantly changing phenomenon that represent the hopes and complaints of various social groupings. They often surface when there is social instability, political turmoil, or when structural injustices are recognised. These movements provide marginalised groups a platform to express their issues, confront repressive systems, and call for more equality and justice. Numerous elements might affect a social movement's effectiveness and impact. A movement's direction and results are greatly influenced by its leadership, organisational design, and strategic planning. Gaining momentum and attaining objectives depend on the efficient mobilisation and coordination of resources, including funding, media attention, and public involvement [7], [8].

Social movements have a significant impact on culture and society. They direct public debate, question accepted beliefs, and sway public opinion. Social movements have the capacity to upend the status quo and open the way for social, political, and cultural reform through increasing awareness and undermining established power structures. Social movements do, however, face difficulties and complications. People in positions of authority who would want to keep the status quo in place often oppose them. The efficacy and endurance of social movements may also be hampered by internal conflicts, disputes over ideas and tactics, and co-optation by influential groups. Social movements are not uniform things, either. They include a wide variety of opinions, viewpoints, and philosophies. A movement's many factions may have contrasting goals and tactics, which may cause disagreements and strife within the movement. It is essential for social movements to navigate these difficulties and promote inclusive decision-making procedures if they are to remain relevant and effective over the long term. Social movements have a crucial role in promoting justice, bringing about social change, and upending the existing quo. They stand for group initiatives to solve social inequalities, strengthen marginalised groups, and build an inclusive and equitable society. We may recognise the strength of collective action and make a contribution to the continuous fight for social justice and constructive social change by comprehending the causes, characteristics, and effects of social movements [9], [10].

## II. DISCUSSION

Social movement theory looks at how opponents of the status quo organise mass support for change and investigates the circumstances in which these bottom-up initiatives are most likely to succeed. Challengers are individuals with opposing, conflicting objectives that try to push transformation, and management often finds itself in their crosshairs. These actors use their collective power to persuade management to change contentious practises or policies by organising into groups and acting as a unit. We refer to such planned, deliberate reform efforts as social movements. Recent studies have focused on how social movements affect organisational transformation. According to this study, movements may have a big impact and are a key source of innovation in businesses and organisations, despite their often modest size. This article examines the many shapes movements may take and evaluates how likely it is that they will lead to organisational change.

### Fundamentals

For a variety of reasons, corporate management has a natural tendency to oppose change and uphold the status quo. Organisations are intended to be self-sustaining and reproducing. Managers are their protectors, thus they are sensitive to the risk and expense of change, which tends to make them conservative and cautious when contemplating radical improvements. Managers may become politically invested in the status quo due to cognitive limitations and internal political connections inside the

organisation. Contrary to organisations' static tendencies, social movements emerge when participants come together around a shared future vision that in some manner directly challenges the present. Reform is advocated for a variety of reasons, such as attempting to deploy novel organisational structures or goods, adjusting hiring procedures in businesses, or changing the governing principles of top management. These motions might take on many shapes. Employee-led movements take shape as a result of a consistent process of forging alliances with management on specific topics. On the other side, movements organised by external stakeholders tend to be more disruptive and confrontational. For instance, anticorporate movements often include coercive strategies to push their organisational targets to change, such as boycotts or demonstrations.

Different movements have various chances and difficulties. When employee-led movements operate inside established channels and win over elite friends in top management, they have a greater chance of bringing about creative transformation. These movements may take use of their internal positioning to create political advantages and develop support for new coalitions by drawing on their understanding of organisational norms and procedures. However, since its members naturally rely on management for their employment, which may restrict their capacity to take dangerous measures, employee movements may be somewhat restrained in their ability to press for radical changes. Employee activists also have to compromise on their identities since they are both reformers and stewards of the organisations. Employee-led movements may be reluctant to air their grievances in public since doing so may damage the organization's brand. Employee-led movements may incur greater expenditures as a result of these restrictions, which might deradicalize them and lessen the innovation of the changes they advocate.

The same restrictions on behaviour or strategy do not apply to movements that are led by external stakeholders. Outside movements are allowed to use subversive strategies that harm the company's reputation in the media, such protests on the street or legal actions. The company's reputation might be damaged by these disruptive practises. As the movement receives more support from the public and media coverage, it is increasingly powerful and businesses may feel under pressure to implement reformative practises against their choice. According to prior research, companies that have already experienced reputational reductions, even ones brought on by totally unrelated factors, are more susceptible to activist pressure because they are already preoccupied with their deteriorating public perception. Externally driven movements could be better able to use their power by taking their demands into the public eye, but they also have their own difficulties. First, because external activists are not formally affiliated with a company, management can believe that they do not have the firm's best interests in mind and be less inclined to listen to them. Additionally, these movements rely on the media to pay attention to and give voice to their complaints. The media should have less influence if they are focused on other events. Similar to this, companies under pressure from outside activists may symbolically give in to the movement without really making internal reforms. Promises of improvement may be empty if not followed with procedures for holding people accountable. External movements may be unable to monitor the company's substantial reaction to their demands since they are excluded from the organization's daily activities. As a result, external movements may be more successful if they concurrently find partners inside the company who share their goals for change.

While managers may be inclined to ignore or suppress movements that target their organisations, doing so might occasionally be harmful to a company. Failure to take into account shifting societal expectations regarding company behaviour might result in market concerns since businesspeople may leverage a movement's claims to develop fresh, competitive rivals. Frustrated movements may also pursue national or worldwide trade groups to push for the passage of new legislation, getting over management's objections. Businesses that are forced to adapt due to new rivals or rules may pay more than they would have if they had previously complied with the trend. Additionally, businesses who don't alter challenged practises risk themselves often targeted, which can have detrimental financial effects. A current study revealed that a company targeted by a single demonstration might have its stock price drop by 0.4% to 1%. Finally, research indicates that managers should participate in movement reform attempts even if they may seem disruptive and dangerous to them.

When there is rising internal support for change, entrepreneurial leaders may utilise movements to overcome natural inertia and implement otherwise hazardous practises. Claims made by movements may also be seen as early indicators of changing consumer preferences, which may indicate rising demand for novel goods, fresh marketing possibilities, or expanding niche markets. Newly debated social topics reflect shifting societal norms, which might help business executives develop engaging CSR initiatives to provide their company a crucial competitive edge in the marketplace. Therefore, for intelligent managers who are prepared to look outside the box and adopt creative practises, mobility threats may uncover new possibilities and sources of profit. Social movements have a significant influence on society through igniting social change, amplifying underrepresented voices, empowering people and communities, swaying public opinion, spurring changes in public policy, strengthening participatory democracy, and creating international solidarity. They play a crucial role in correcting societal inequities, achieving social justice, and fostering an inclusive and equitable global community.

### III. CONCLUSION

In order to confront structural injustices, advance justice, and advance a more fair and equitable society, social movements are essential agents of change in society. They unite people and groups that care about the same things and are prepared to work together to confront oppressive systems and advance constructive change. Social movements provide voices from the margins a platform to be heard, enabling people and groups that have been traditionally marginalised or silenced. They influence public opinion, question prevailing narratives, and bring important social concerns to light. Social movements have the power to alter society attitudes and values through influencing public discourse, opening the door for cultural and ideological transformation. Furthermore, social movements encourage legislative adjustments and policy interventions, which in turn motivate policy improvements. They organise at the local level, run advocacy campaigns, and use direct action to put pressure on institutions and decision-makers. Legislation that upholds civil rights, advances gender equality, and protects the environment is a result of social movements.

Social movements also promote participatory democracy through promoting civic participation and group decision-making. They develop a feeling of agency and empowerment by giving people the chance to actively engage in reshaping their communities and society. Social movements fight power disparities and advance inclusive government via their mobilisation activities. Additionally, social movements have the power to inspire solidarity beyond national borders. They establish networks and alliances between activists, groups, and communities from many nations and cultures in order to tackle shared problems. The influence of social movements is boosted by this international solidarity, which also forges a cohesive front against systematic injustices on a worldwide scale. In essence, social movements play a crucial role in questioning the existing quo, fighting for social fairness, and encouraging constructive social changes. They emphasise the need of collective action, strengthen marginalised groups, influence public opinion, motivate policy changes, encourage democratic participation, and advance international cooperation. We can help create a more just and inclusive society for everyone by researching social movements and promoting their causes.

### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Almeida and C. Chase-Dunn, "Globalization and social movements," *Annu. Rev. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-073117-041307.
- [2] D. Isa and I. Himelboim, "A Social Networks Approach to Online Social Movement: Social Mediators and Mediated Content in #FreeAJStaff Twitter Network," *Soc. Media Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/2056305118760807.
- [3] D. J. Hess, "Energy democracy and social movements: A multi-coalition perspective on the politics of sustainability transitions," *Energy Res. Soc. Sci.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2018.01.003.
- [4] M. Mundt, K. Ross, and C. M. Burnett, "Scaling Social Movements Through Social Media: The Case of Black Lives Matter," *Soc. Media Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/2056305118807911.

- [5] D. Wang, A. Piazza, and S. A. Soule, "Boundary-spanning in social movements: Antecedents and outcomes," *Annu. Rev. Sociol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-073117-041258.
- [6] H. Hanafiah, "Dayah collectively as a social movement," *Int. J. Hum. Rights Healthc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/IJHRH-08-2017-0034.
- [7] G. Piggot, "The influence of social movements on policies that constrain fossil fuel supply," *Clim. Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/14693062.2017.1394255.
- [8] K. Jezierska and D. V. Polanska, "Social Movements Seen as Radical Political Actors: The Case of the Polish Tenants' Movement," *Voluntas*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11266-017-9917-2.
- [9] J. M. Jasper, M. Young, and E. Zuern, "Character work in social movements," *Theory Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11186-018-9310-1.
- [10] E. Nulman and R. Schlembach, "Advances in social movement theory since the global financial crisis," *Eur. J. Soc. Theory*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1368431017714213.