

Define a Sociotechnical Theory

Mr. Ram Srinivas

Assistant Professor, Masters In Business Administration (General Management), Presidency University,
Bangalore, India,

Email Id-ramsrinivas@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

The interconnection of social and technological processes inside organisations is emphasised by sociotechnical theory, a theoretical framework. An overview of the Sociotechnical Theory, its fundamental ideas, and how it may be used to analyse organisational structure and performance are given in this abstract. According to the sociotechnical theory, relationships, communication, and organisational values are among the social elements that affect organisational effectiveness in addition to technical ones. The abstract discusses the core ideas of sociotechnical theory, including the need of combining social and technical system optimisation and the value of employee autonomy and engagement in decision-making. Additionally, it addresses how organisational culture, team dynamics, and job design affect the development of successful sociotechnical systems. The abstract also emphasises how Sociotechnical Theory is used in a variety of fields, such as manufacturing, healthcare, and information technology, where the integration of social and technical factors is essential for organisational success. Organisations may establish a balance between efficiency and employee well-being by adopting a sociotechnical approach, which improves performance, innovation, and work happiness. In order to achieve long-term organisational success, it is critical to comprehend and apply sociotechnical theory in modern organisations. This abstract emphasises the need of doing both.

KEYWORDS:

Adopting, Organisational, Self-Managed, Sociotechnical, Technological.

I. INTRODUCTION

A concept called sociotechnical theory acknowledges how social and technical aspects interact in organisations. It emphasises the knowledge that social structures, processes, and dynamics that affect human relationships and work practises also have an impact on organisational success. According to sociotechnical theory, it is essential to coordinate and maximise both the social and technical aspects of work if organisations are to succeed. The development of sociotechnical theory dates back to the 1950s and 1960s, when researchers like Eric Trist and Fred Emery started examining the connection between technology, work organisation, and employee behaviour. They noted that while designing work systems, employers should take into account both technological effectiveness and workers' pleasure and well-being [1], [2].

Sociotechnical Theory describes organisations as complex systems made up of linked social and technical components. The technical subsystem refers to the instruments, methods, and technologies utilised to carry out job activities, whereas the social subsystem refers to the people, their roles, relationships, and communication patterns. To achieve organisational objectives and foster a positive work environment, these subsystems must be integrated and aligned effectively.

The idea of collaborative optimisation is one of Sociotechnical Theory's fundamental ideas. It implies that considering social and technological systems together rather than separately would improve organisational effectiveness. As a result, efforts should be made to create work processes that support both productivity and employee wellbeing. In order to promote work satisfaction and motivation, sociotechnical theory places a strong emphasis on the value of employee engagement, autonomy, and

empowerment in decision-making processes. The Sociotechnical Theory also emphasises the importance of organisational culture, team dynamics, and job design in producing successful sociotechnical systems. It promotes working conditions that provide people freedom and choice over their jobs as well as chances for cooperation and teamwork. The idea also acknowledges how organisational culture shapes the attitudes, standards, and practises that facilitate the fusion of social and technological components[3], [4].

Manufacturing, healthcare, information technology, and service organisations are just a few of the sectors and industries where sociotechnical theory has been used. The development of work systems, initiatives to rethink jobs, and the use of technical breakthroughs have all been led by its concepts. Organisations attempt to establish a work environment that strikes a balance between effectiveness, productivity, and employee well-being by adopting a sociotechnical approach. Sociotechnical Theory emphasises the significance of taking both social and technical elements into account when determining the efficacy of an organisational structure. Organisations may design work environments that optimise performance, encourage employee engagement, and advance organisational success by acknowledging the interconnection of social and technological systems. Sociotechnical Theory offers a useful framework for comprehending the intricate dynamics of work and directing initiatives to develop enduring and satisfying work systems[5], [6].

Sociotechnical Theory acknowledges the dynamic character of organisations and the necessity for constant adaptation and learning in addition to the ideas outlined above. It recognises that organisations function in broader social and environmental settings, and that changes to these contexts may have an influence on how well sociotechnical systems function. Therefore, in order to maintain their sociotechnical systems' alignment with their objectives and values, organisations must constantly monitor external influences and adapt accordingly. Additionally, Sociotechnical Theory recognises the variety of people inside organisations and the need of taking into account their particular needs and views. It acknowledges that people bring a variety of experiences, knowledge, and abilities to the workplace, and that these variations may enhance the overall efficacy of sociotechnical systems. Within organisations, creativity, innovation, and problem-solving may all be improved by valuing and using this variety[7], [8].

The ethical component of organisational design is acknowledged by sociotechnical theory, which also emphasises the significance of ethical factors in decision-making. It emphasises the need of placing a high priority on workers' welfare, respecting their rights and dignity, and ensuring justice in the allocation of authority and resources. Organisations may build an inclusive and encouraging work climate that promotes trust, engagement, and long-term success by taking an ethical stand. Additionally, sociotechnical theory is aware of the possible difficulties and complexity involved in putting sociotechnical systems into practise. It recognises that opposition to organisational change is common and that strong leadership, clear communication, and stakeholder involvement are necessary to overcome it. To keep their sociotechnical systems in line with changing demands and objectives, organisations must manage trade-offs, make wise choices, and continually assess and modify them. Overall, by taking into account how social and technological aspects interact, sociotechnical theory offers a comprehensive view on organisational design and effectiveness. Organisations may build work environments that encourage collaboration, creativity, and employee wellbeing by adopting this notion. They are able to adjust to changing environments, embrace diversity, and preserve moral standards, all of which ultimately generate sustained success in a dynamic and complicated corporate environment[9], [10].

II. DISCUSSION

The phrase "sociotechnical theory" is often used to describe the intricate interaction between people and technology, in which neither the social (consisting of people, relationships, and structures) nor the technological (consisting of devices, processes, and materials, etc.) can be taken into account separately in order to maximise performance. By combining self-management and empowerment ideas in management practises and organisational transformation projects, this term—also known as

sociotechnical systems and sociotechnical design—has had a significant impact on management principles and theories. Additionally, it has had a significant influence on how product design is handled and how innovation is carried out. This item initially discusses some of the essential components and concerns before giving a succinct exposition of the idea, including a deeper breakdown of its guiding principles. The development of this concept in management theory and its significance to the discipline are briefly discussed after that.

Fundamentals

As suggested by its name, sociotechnical theory is interested in how social and technical parts of a system interact with one another and with the environment. The interplay of social and technological factors and the impact of the environment on these social and technical aspects make up these two crucial components, which together make up the theory. The theory is clear in that it uses the word "technical" to describe work processes, material flows, as well as equipment. It does not confine the term to the description of machines or gadgets. Similar to this, the term "social" refers to how a tool, machine, or work process affects not only an individual but also the entirety of a person's knowledge, attitudes, and social relationships as well as the web of connections and interactions between different people and groups within an organisation. Social ties at work refer to dependency and task performance, not friendships in the traditional sense. The word "social" also refers to people and groups at all organisational levels, as well as incentive and authority structures, which are obviously of the utmost importance to managers.

The foundation of sociotechnical theory is the understanding that a device, machine, or work flow's characteristics have an impact on how employees perform their jobs, how they perceive their roles within the organisation, and how they interact with one another on a professional and social level. In this sense, a specific technology is taken into account in terms of its consequences for the pattern of social interactions affected by its introduction into the system rather than just as a piece of equipment that people must be educated to operate. The introduction of e-mail into a workplace, for instance, is taken into account not only in terms of how employees must be trained to use the software and policies for how e-mail is to be used, but also—and this is more crucial—how the introduction of e-mail might alter the social networks and relationships among employees as well as their pattern of interaction. Some potential factors may include how individuals communicate differently and if their relationships might be just as successful as the more conventional face-to-face encounters. As nonverbal signals like gestures and voice tonality are crucial components of communication that may be lost with the introduction and use of e-mail in an organisation, e-mail lacks quick feedback and does not support them. When e-mail is utilised as the main form of communication, this could result in more misunderstandings, irritation, and other negative outcomes. As a result, sociotechnical theory would be concerned with how utilising email might alter work flows as well as the social structure and general efficacy of the work unit.

The foundation of sociotechnical theory is the idea that social and technical factors should be optimised together rather than being prioritised separately. By explicitly taking into account how social and technological factors interact, optimisation is accomplished by figuring out how these two subsystems or concerns may be maximised together. The theory's primary message is that it is not sufficient to simply consider introducing a piece of equipment and adapting people to fit how the equipment operates without also taking into account how the equipment and its requirements might disrupt interactions between employees and their perceptions of their work and the organisation. The idea emphasises that the complicated interdependence and interaction between social and technological components must be jointly taken into account in order to obtain optimal performance in any work flow, process, or from the usage of technology or a gadget.

The connection and interaction of social and technological components with the environment is a second key facet of sociotechnical theory. According to the notion, every sociotechnical work system is innately a part of its surroundings, necessitating constant adaptation and response to shifting external factors. Even in environments that seem to be relatively stable, environmental changes develop and take

place that have an influence on both the social and technological components of the work system, necessitating ongoing adaptation by both. In a firm, changes in competition product design or shifting consumer product use preferences affect both internal business operations and product design features. Therefore, managers must pay close attention to how internal and external factors interact and how one may affect the other. Boundary-spanning positions, in which people carefully account for variations between internal and external situations and work to reconcile necessary modifications, have so gained more significance as a result of this. occur to bring the system's equilibrium back. The fusion of social and technological considerations, and the way in which these factors are incorporated into the broader environment has caused management theories and practises to advance via the direct involvement of employees. These have taken the shape of self-managed work teams and employee involvement in innovation processes, probably most famously. In these strategies, management offers staff members more autonomy and responsibility for performing job tasks, as well as the freedom to choose the goods and procedures without needing managerial permission.

In practise, managers delegate more decision-making power to staff members and rely more on their judgement. Employees often manage their division or area of the company as if they were its owners, planning and evaluating the effects of their choices to ensure optimum effectiveness and financial success. The justification for this is that people who are closely engaged in their product or process on a daily basis are most qualified to comprehend what adjustments must be made for utmost efficacy and efficiency. They are also in a unique position to gather this information and understand the implications of how changes in one aspect might impact other changes in the processes or system due to their intricate and personal interactions with both internal and external constituents and customers. This has contributed to the global spread of self-managed teams, a kind of organisational architecture that enables ongoing adaptation, adjustment, and reciprocal adjustments as one component of team functioning impacts another.

A key component of sociotechnical theory, known as the minimal crucial specification, proposes that managers should instruct staff members on just those components of their tasks or projects that are vital, rather than on non-essential details. In other words, rather than over-specifying and limiting employee invention and creativity to make the process more efficient and successful, managers should only lead workers in the things that are important and then only to the degree that is needed. Employee creativity and ideas may be suppressed by overspecifying, which is undesirable since they often provide distinctive and valuable advances. This feature thus establishes a distinction between what should be done and how it should be done.

Although managers may and should normally be specific about what needs to be done, it is sometimes unnecessary to state precisely how it has to be done. According to Albert Cherns in 1987, there is often "far too much specificity" about how work should be completed in organisations, and staff frequently devise workarounds to get the task done in spite of the elaborate rules and procedures. From the perspective of job design, sociotechnical theory's focus on the need for worker initiative and understanding in occupations and processes suggests that this autonomy in these positions is intrinsically more motivating and gratifying. Since people are given more flexibility and power to carry out duties as they see appropriate to best meet work goals, this increases their feeling of motivation and the likelihood that they will dedicate more energy to work-related activities. Managers may tap into internal psychological processes that are self-motivating and internally driven by empowering staff by defining just the bare minimum necessary as opposed to micromanaging.

As a result, employees labour and put effort into fixing job-related challenges out of a desire rather than out of need. Employees are therefore seen as crucial resources for enhancing the efficacy and efficiency of the company. Additionally, self-control and autonomy give people a feeling of ownership over the work they produce and the methods they use. This instills perseverance in the face of obstacles and a sense of commitment to their jobs and organisations.

Evolution

A team of academics at the Tavistock Institute in London first created the sociotechnical theory in the 1950s. The first essay in this field, while it has since changed, was written by Eric Trist and Ken Bamforth in 1951 and was titled "Some social and Psychological Consequences of the Longwall Method of Coal Getting." This article examines a coal mining operation as a case study. Mechanisation of coal production and the introduction of "mass production" methods were anticipated to boost productivity in terms of coal harvesting. The distribution of miners into specialised shifts included reducing the

Coal was moved onto a new conveyor in the first shift, gates were built, and roof supports were built in the second and third shifts. Miners In the mine, workers were dispersed along a long wall, each shift focusing on a certain duty. This was seen to be more effective at the time than smaller groups of miners doing each of the three jobs inside their own group and autonomously distributing the work among their own group members. Miners had less diversity and difficulty in their work with the new "mass production" methods and specialised shifts, which had a negative impact on morale and output.

In short, the researchers came to the conclusion that while a technological change—such as the introduction of mass production techniques—seems quite rational when viewed from an engineering viewpoint, it is based only on a limited view of the production system that ignores the needs of workers in the social system and may therefore actually reduce the benefits that had been expected from the new technology. The term "sociotechnical systems" originated from the researcher's realisation of the interdependence between technical and social systems. Frederick Taylor's idea of scientific management, which predominated at the time, emerged in stark opposition to sociotechnical theory. This method included "mass production" methods and technological adaptation of the populace.

Particularly in production or assembly lines, employees were not taken into consideration while organising the workforce; instead, they were arranged to meet the design, capabilities, and work flow of the machines. According to Taylor's scientific management principles, specialised jobs on an assembly line were more productive and efficient. Despite the fact that these jobs were monotonous and repetitive with little opportunity for job variety or intrinsic value for the worker, workers would still perform their duties if given the proper incentives, such as money and other rewards. In contrast, sociotechnical theory proposed the notion that maximising output could only be accomplished by taking into account how people and technology interact.

Numerous testing and various revisions of the sociotechnical theory have been put out since its inception. Literally hundreds of papers and publications have been written on the subject up to the present, according to an assessment of the literature. Leading academics and philosophers in the discipline, including Cherno, Fred Emery, Louis Davis, Albert Rice, Philip Herbst, H. F. Kolodny, Enid Mumford, William Pasmore, and others, have conducted these studies. The major focus has been on creating practical methods for implementing sociotechnical theory principles in workplace settings. Along similar lines, Emery and others have detailed the "nine-step model" for adopting sociotechnical concepts in several elaborations of how the theory might be applied to corporate organisations. Implementing sociotechnical notions in the workplace has proven difficult, particularly when it comes to giving authority and power to employees rather than keeping it with management. Though widely adopted in theory, it has sometimes proven challenging to go from title and name changes to genuine self-management in teams and structure.

These developments of sociotechnical theory place a lot of focus on meaningful involvement in design and decision-making. Assigned responsibility for work control and coordination to be put with the workers who actually carry out the job responsibilities is known as the democratic design concept. Participatory design entails really allowing people to make choices about the work that is entrusted to them, as opposed to more bureaucratic models where administrative authority is centralised and vested in hierarchy. Due to the high level of risk that might accompany such delegating and empowerment for

the management, some managers have been reluctant to use sociotechnical concepts. According to the sociotechnical approach, eliminating hierarchy and the centralised authority and control that have formed the foundation of management thought is necessary to enable individuals and teams to make their own choices and to have managers actually function as coaches and facilitators. Undoubtedly, such adjustments are challenging to implement.

The development of learning organisations and the ubiquity of self-discovery are further effects of sociotechnical theory. The learning organisation is considered as one in which employees are most equipped to adjust to changing and turbulent external environmental circumstances because it is based on the idea that workers are best able to make choices about their job. Employees who comprehend their roles and are capable of making knowledgeable judgements about how to conduct and adjust their work in order to accomplish organisational goals help the continual adaptation and alignment to changing environmental circumstances. This strategy and the need that employees make independent judgements about how to conduct their job in order to adjust process and product designs are being given greater prominence as a result of the rapid and turbulent nature of environmental changes that occur in the business world.

Importance

The sociotechnical theory has had a significant impact. The coupling of social and technological factors and the necessity to optimise both for optimal performance, including the embeddedness of both within the environment, as outlined by sociotechnical theory, has had a significant influence on management techniques and product design methodologies. Most importantly, it has brought focus to the crucial part that people play in adopting new procedures or using new technology. From the perspective of product design, sociotechnical theory holds that true performance depends on how people might use the technology and the overall implications of how the technology is used for the organisation and social system, rather than considering how technology can be best designed in isolation. To put it another way, just because a technology is elegantly designed doesn't guarantee that it will be utilised as intended or that its inventors could have possibly foreseen all the consequences of utilising it. Because of this, managers and product designers must take into account the various possible consequences (both human and technological) of how the technology could alter how people behave who utilise the new product or technology. One such instance is the present-day mobile phone's messaging capability. Although it was once intended to be a fast way to send abbreviated messages, some people now use it to replace voice communication. Additionally, texting on mobile phones has been linked to several highway deaths that were brought on by collisions involving texting-distracted drivers. As a consequence, several states have recently started to enact legislation outlawing texting while driving. As the example of texting demonstrates, there are several sociotechnical theory-related consequences of how technology is employed that affect social structures and behaviour.

The widespread use of self-managed teams for work, as well as other management techniques that incorporate autonomy and internal self-regulation, is another widely recognised result of sociotechnical theory. Self-managed teams can respond and make changes more quickly than more senior managers who are further away in time and location because they work at the level where social and technological developments happen in real time. As a result, the dynamic interaction between teams and technology was acknowledged, and with it came the insight that tiny autonomous units are best suited to adapt to changing situations, leading to enhanced responsiveness and performance. As a consequence, self-managed teams spread across all kinds of businesses, organisations, and divisions in an attempt to boost productivity and competitiveness. Today, self-managed teams are a key organisational structure that have been used in a wide range of applications, including those involving professionals, hourly workers in factories, and customer-focused organisations all over the globe.

New ways of organising and working, including telework, telecommuting, virtual teams, social networking, wikis, distance or e-learning, and many more advances, may be considered as applications of sociotechnical theory. In each of these, people engage with and via technology, which has a significant impact on how they may collaborate and the kind of professions and activities they conduct.

In contrast to those who work in the office full-time, teleworkers or telecommuters who work from home a few days a week may have distinct interactions with colleagues and managers. It's possible that a larger dependence on phone conversations and e-mails, as well as the lack of in-person encounters, has an impact on how colleagues see them or the quality of their relationships with coworkers and supervisors. The lack of social indicators in electronic communication also makes it harder to understand people when the subject is complicated or socially sensitive, according to study. Their capacity for teamwork and the creation of group goods is significantly impacted by these factors.

The implications of sociotechnical theory are significant for managers in their attempts to maintain and enhance individual and organisational performance, as these examples show. Utilising sociotechnical methods has improved product quality and efficiency, resulted in happier and more satisfying occupations for workers, and decreased absenteeism. and change. Structures and industrial production floors have both been designed using sociotechnical notions. organisations, launch team-based management initiatives, and create innovative hardware and software. To mention a few, job enlargement, job enrichment, empowerment, autonomous groups, and team-based management techniques are examples of management ideas with origins in sociotechnical theory. In addition, action research often referred to as a trial-and-error method was inspired by sociotechnical theory. According to what has been said above, sociotechnical theory has had a significant impact on management theory and practise and continues to do so.

III. CONCLUSION

A useful foundation for comprehending the complex interrelationship between social and technological variables in organisations is provided by sociotechnical theory. Sociotechnical Theory encourages a comprehensive strategy for organisational design and administration by acknowledging that social and technical systems interact to determine organisational success. The concepts of employee participation, joint optimisation, and work system design emphasise the significance of taking into account both the social and technical aspects of work. Sociotechnical Theory-adopting organisations endeavour to build work environments that not only maximise efficiency and productivity but also support staff wellbeing, engagement, and job satisfaction. The application of sociotechnical theory spans several areas and industries, emphasising the need of integrating social and technical elements in varied organisational situations. Organisations may improve their flexibility, creativity, and performance by using the sociotechnical theory's tenets.

However, it's critical to recognise the difficulties and complexity of using sociotechnical theory. Change management, stakeholder involvement, and handling interpersonal and cultural differences are just a few of the challenges that organisations must deal with. Sociotechnical Theory adoption demands strong leadership, good communication, and a dedication to ongoing learning and development. Organisations may design work systems that combine technological efficiency with the human dimensions of work by adopting the sociotechnical theory's guiding principles. They may encourage teamwork, innovation, and employee happiness, all of which can contribute to an organization's long-term success. Sociotechnical Theory serves as a reminder that organisations are made up of people with different needs, capacities, and goals, not simply technologies and procedures. Organisations may build a work environment that fosters both organisational success and employee wellbeing by maximising the interplay between social and technological systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Murphy, Y. hsiang Huang, M. M. Robertson, S. Jeffries, and M. J. Dainoff, "A sociotechnical systems approach to enhance safety climate in the trucking industry: Results of an in-depth investigation," *Appl. Ergon.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2017.08.002.
- [2] B. K. Sovacool, K. Lovell, and M. B. Ting, "Reconfiguration, Contestation, and Decline: Conceptualizing Mature Large Technical Systems," *Sci. Technol. Hum. Values*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0162243918768074.
- [3] L. A. Murphy, M. M. Robertson, Y. hsiang Huang, S. Jeffries, and M. J. Dainoff, "A

sociotechnical systems approach to enhance safety climate in the trucking industry: Development of a methodology,” *Appl. Ergon.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2017.08.001.

- [4] M. Razaghi and M. Finger, “Smart Governance for Smart Cities,” *Proc. IEEE*, 2018, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2018.2807784.
- [5] T. Stevens, “Global cybersecurity: New directions in theory and methods,” *Politics and Governance*. 2018. doi: 10.17645/pag.v6i2.1569.
- [6] N. Gamero, I. Silla, R. Sainz-González, and B. Sora, “The influence of organizational factors on road transport safety,” *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/ijerph15091938.
- [7] T. Khanye, J. Ophoff, and K. Johnston, “Issues in Migrating Legacy Systems to the Cloud,” in *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference Confluence 2018 on Cloud Computing, Data Science and Engineering, Confluence 2018*, 2018. doi: 10.1109/CONFLUENCE.2018.8443029.
- [8] X. Lin, P. Wells, and B. K. Sovacool, “The death of a transport regime? The future of electric bicycles and transportation pathways for sustainable mobility in China,” *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2018.02.008.
- [9] T. Greenhalgh *et al.*, “Real-world implementation of video outpatient consultations at macro, meso, and micro levels: Mixed-method study,” *J. Med. Internet Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.2196/jmir.9897.
- [10] D. J. Hess, “Social movements and energy democracy: Types and processes of mobilization,” *Front. Energy Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.3389/fenrg.2018.00135.