

# A Study on Analysing Stakeholder Theory

**Mr. Ashok Bhat**

Assistant Professor, Masters In Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,  
Email Id-ashokbhat@presidencyuniversity.in

## **ABSTRACT:**

Stakeholder theory is a well-known and significant concept in organisational and management research. The fundamental ideas, tenets, and consequences of stakeholder theory for organisational practise are highlighted in this abstract. The main concept of the abstract is that of stakeholders, who are people or organisations with an interest in or stake in a company and who are affected by its choices and activities. It emphasises the significance of comprehending and controlling stakeholder relationships while taking into account their various demands, wants, and power dynamics. The abstract also emphasises the transition from a shareholder-centric to a stakeholder-centric approach, highlighting the organisations' larger social and ethical obligations. It explores the fundamental ideas of stakeholder theory, such as the idea of creating value for many stakeholders and the idea of discussion and stakeholder involvement. The abstract also explains how stakeholder theory may be used to improve organisational reputation, foster trust, and advance sustainability over the long run. In highlighting the importance and applicability of stakeholder theory in modern business environments, where organisations are increasingly required to take into account a wide variety of stakeholders' interests and connect their plans and activities with more general societal objectives, it comes to a conclusion.

## **KEYWORDS:**

Company, Creation Value, Organisations, Stakeholder, Strategic Management.

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The idea of stakeholder theory has grown significantly in popularity in the fields of management and organisational studies. It provides a framework for comprehending and controlling interactions between organisations and the people or groups that are involved in or affected by their operations. These stakeholders may include the company's staff, clients, vendors, shareholders, communities, governmental bodies, and other entities that have an impact on or are impacted by the company. Organisations have often prioritised increasing shareholder value because they see shareholders as the key stakeholders. Stakeholder theory, on the other hand, broadens this viewpoint by arguing that organisations have a wider duty to take into account and address the interests of all relevant stakeholders. It recommends that businesses work to strike a balance between satisfying the expectations and demands of all stakeholders while still generating profit for shareholders [1], [2].

Stakeholder theory has become more prevalent as a result of the realisation that organisations function within complex networks of interactions where the choices and actions of one party may have a profound effect on those of other parties. For an organisation to succeed and endure, these interactions must be understood and managed successfully. The stakeholder theory recognises that stakeholders have a variety of, and sometimes competing, requirements, interests, and power dynamics. It emphasises how crucial it is to identify, organise, and interact with stakeholders in order to comprehend their viewpoints and issues. Through this involvement, organisations are able to design strategies that are better aligned with stakeholder expectations, reduce disputes, and foster good connections. The idea of creating value for many stakeholders is one of the tenets of stakeholder theory. It proposes that instead of concentrating simply on maximising shareholder value, organisations should aim to provide favourable results for all stakeholders. This point of view encourages businesses to think about how their decisions may affect society, the environment, and ethics more broadly [3], [4].

Stakeholder participation and communication are essential, according to stakeholder theory. In order to collect insights, gather feedback, and resolve problems, this entails developing venues for open communication, active listening, and engagement with stakeholders. Organisations may promote trust, openness, and shared accountability by including stakeholders in decision-making processes. Stakeholder theory has practical ramifications for all facets of organisational practise. It affects how businesses handle their interactions with their workforce, clients, suppliers, and communities. Additionally, it serves as a guide for businesses as they develop their CSR programmes, sustainability plans, and ethical standards. By ensuring that organisational choices meet stakeholder expectations and advance societal well-being, embracing stakeholder theory may improve organisational reputation, reduce risks, and promote long-term success[5], [6].

Stakeholder theory offers a helpful framework for comprehending and managing the intricate web of connections that organisations must negotiate. Organisations may establish strategies that provide value for many stakeholders and advance sustainable and ethical business practises by acknowledging the various interests and requirements of stakeholders and actively interacting with them. Organisations may use stakeholder theory as a guide to reconcile their financial objectives with their social and ethical commitments, improving their reputation, satisfying their stakeholders, and achieving long-term success. Due to the growing expectations for corporate social responsibility, ethical behaviour, and sustainability, stakeholder theory has attracted considerable attention and significance in today's business environment. Organisations are being held responsible for their social and environmental implications in addition to their financial success[7], [8].

Stakeholder theory excels in capturing the complexity and interconnectedness of stakeholder relationships, which is one of its main advantages. It recognises that organisations must think about the wider ramifications of their decisions since they operate in a bigger social environment. Organisations may better negotiate the intricacies of stakeholder interests and power dynamics by using a stakeholder-centric approach, which results in more inclusive and responsible decision-making. Furthermore, since stakeholder connections are developed and maintained through time, stakeholder theory pushes organisations to adopt a long-term view. It emphasises the significance of reciprocal value generation, trust, and openness in maintaining healthy stakeholder relationships. Organisations may encourage adherence, assistance, and cooperation by comprehending and resolving the demands and worries of stakeholders[9], [10].

In accordance with stakeholder theory, businesses may gain a competitive edge by handling stakeholder interactions well. Organisations may improve their image, recruit top talent, increase consumer loyalty, and get access to key resources by aligning their objectives and activities with stakeholder expectations. This emphasis on creating value for stakeholders supports organisational resilience and flexibility in a business environment that is undergoing fast change. Stakeholder theory implementation, nevertheless, may be difficult for businesses. It may be difficult to identify and prioritise stakeholders, manage competing interests, and balance stakeholder expectations. Organisations may have to manage possible conflicts between various stakeholder groups and make challenging trade-offs. Strong leadership, good communication, and continual stakeholder engagement mechanisms are necessary for the successful implementation of stakeholder theory.

Stakeholder theory offers a thorough framework for comprehending and managing the interactions between organisations and their stakeholders, to sum up. It emphasises the significance of taking into account the many stakeholder interests and demands, encouraging cooperation and value creation, and balancing financial objectives with social and ethical obligations. Organisations may successfully manage the intricacies of stakeholder interactions, contribute to societal well-being, and achieve long-term success in an ethical and sustainable way by adopting stakeholder theory.

## II. DISCUSSION

Stakeholder theory promotes the idea that businesses will operate more efficiently and provide more value if they pay close attention to a variety of their stakeholders, including consumers, suppliers, workers, and communities. The organisation may then utilise this value to expand and thrive while also giving back to the stakeholders who helped build it. Managing for stakeholders will be used here to describe this kind of business conduct. Because it primarily addresses management behaviour and the interactions between a corporation and its constituency, stakeholder theory is both managerial and prescriptive. The idea has a strong ethical base as well. This item starts with a thorough explanation of some of the core ideas of stakeholder theory, which is followed by a summary of its development and significance.

### Fundamentals

Several topics in the introduction's description need clarification and development. They are as follows: Stakeholders in an organisation are who? What does it mean to look after them very well? "Value" – what is it? How can looking after stakeholders help a company attract more of them?

### Defining Who Is and Is Not a Stakeholder

Stakeholders are organisations and people who take an interest in the actions and results of a company and on whom the company depends to fulfil its own goals. Customers are an example of a stakeholder since they purchase products and services from the business in return for cash, which is then used to fund the business' operations. An example of an economic stake is this. Other examples of stakeholders having a financial interest in the company are vendors and staff. Stakeholders, like shareholders, may also own ownership in the company. Furthermore, even if there is no direct market impact, stakeholders may be interested in what the company does because it affects them in some manner. These stakeholders were sometimes referred to as kibbutzers in the early stakeholder literature. For instance, special interest organisations attempt to sway authoritative judgements to further their own objectives. Of fact, stakeholder interests are interrelated, which means that coalitions of stakeholders often emerge around specific topics and that any one organisational action may be seen favourably or unfavourably by a number of stakeholder groups. The influencer or kibbutzer stake, the third form of stake, emphasises the following crucial idea: A stakeholder does not always indicate that an organisation is especially interested in them just because they have an interest in the organisation. Although there isn't a single definition of what constitutes a genuine stakeholder from the standpoint of the organisation, stakeholders are often seen by managers as important if they are legitimate and powerful.

If a stakeholder has the potential to influence results by political, coercive, or other methods or if they control key resources that the company requires, they have power. Legitimacy relates to society and cultural norms. A management could see a stakeholder as salient, for example, if doing so is thought to be desirable, suitable, or acceptable in the present situation. A stakeholder who would not often be seen as significant might become so in urgent conditions, where urgency denotes that a specific stakeholder's claim is time-sensitive or crucial to the stakeholder. This is in addition to power and legitimacy.

The fairness concept may also be used to identify which stakeholders should get priority attention from an organisation. According to this notion, people who the organisation has willingly received resources from should be considered genuine stakeholders. Employees, consumers, financiers, suppliers, and local communities would be the main stakeholders. Since they are inextricably related to the organization's value-creating operations, they might likewise be regarded as primary. Secondary stakeholders, who often do not form the core of a company's operations, have a significant impact on an organisation. The government, the media, special interest groups, consumer advocacy organisations, and rivals are a few examples of secondary stakeholders. Early on in its inception, the stakeholder theory faced opposition from some who thought it promoted the idea that all stakeholders should have an equal status with the company. The stakeholder theory may advocate for the moral and fair treatment of all of a firm's stakeholders, but it does not claim that all stakeholders are on an equal footing. This is particularly important when considering the resources an organisation invests to serve certain stakeholders and the

value it returns to them. Fairness would imply that stakeholders who are essential to the organization's goals and who make the biggest contributions to the procedures for creating value for the company should get greater value and attention.

### **Stakeholder Treatment and Business Ethics**

Stakeholder theory's core idea is how stakeholders are treated. Although there is disagreement over the precise definition of what it means to serve stakeholders well, the theory's proponents generally agree on a number of guiding principles. These principles largely depend on ethical reasoning, which implies that the acts of a company with relation to its stakeholders are evaluated according to guiding principles based on generally recognised standards of conduct (for example, lying is bad). From a stakeholder viewpoint, firm behaviour may also be assessed based on results. In other words, businesses are expected to create positive results based on the accomplishment of morally significant aims. For instance, a for-profit corporation is required, among other things, to produce goods and/or services that satisfy consumer needs and wants, to give employees a way to care for their families' and their own physical needs, to support the communities in which they operate, and to offer stockholders fair returns. Understanding how specific behaviours might affect business outcomes and evaluating firm behaviour in relation to stakeholders are both made easier with the aid of organisational justice theory. When a stakeholder believes that their value allocation from the business is fair in comparison to what the firm's other stakeholders get or what the stakeholders of other companies receive, distributional justice has taken place. For instance, a worker could believe that his or her compensation and benefits are reasonable when compared to those of other employees at the company or to those of workers in comparable positions at other companies.

A stakeholder's opinion of the fairness of an organization's decision-making procedures is known as procedural justice. A supplier, for instance, may not enjoy the fact that a bid was rejected, but if the selection process was seen to be fair, the supplier can accept the rejection much easier. Fairness in how stakeholders are handled in regular interactions and conversations with the company is the focus of interactional justice. Businesses that practise organisational fairness may anticipate comparable behaviour from the majority of their stakeholders in return. In this way, trust-based cooperative relationships are built. The addition of ethical issues in stakeholder theory strengthens its applicability since, in reality, business and ethics go hand in hand. Since every business action affects the results for a variety of stakeholders, they all include an ethical component. The separation fallacy is the effort to think about commercial issues without taking ethics into account.

### **Stakeholder Theory and the Value Created by an Organization**

The idea that financial gains (and related shareholder returns) are the fundamental goal of the firm is one that underpins a large portion of business literature. This fixation with the bottom line is understandable given that monetary earnings are simple to quantify whereas other forms of value are more challenging. In addition, a school of thinking known as agency theory contends that managers have a fiduciary duty to maximise returns to shareholders and that any management behaviour that attempts to lower those returns constitutes an agency issue. Additionally, some authors have argued that the only stakeholder in a company who receives residual returns is the shareholder; that is, shareholders do not have a clear contract regarding the returns they will receive, and they only get paid after all other stakeholders who have explicit contracts have been paid. Advocates for stakeholders claim that managers (and boards of directors) have moral and legal responsibility to all of their stakeholders, not just to the shareholders, and that this argument has resulted in a discussion between shareholders and stakeholders that has taken up thousands of journal pages. Although the discussion has been oversimplified, it will do for the purposes of this post.

The stakeholder literature provides a far more inclusive definition of value. A company develops value through being useful to a variety of stakeholders. Customers and clients gain value from using the company's goods and services, employees may grow personally through the work they do in a

supportive environment, communities may profit from a pool of organisational volunteers who help out at neighbourhood nonprofits, and so on so forth. One of the distinguishing features of stakeholder theory is voluntarism. The organisation acts in a specific manner via its management and staff as a result of an organisational culture that is founded on a set of generally accepted ideas rather than out of force.

Stakeholder theory states that companies that manage for their stakeholders provide their stakeholders more value than is necessary to keep them interested in the company. This kind of conduct, when coupled with trust derived from organisational fairness and commitment to ethical standards, produces relationships with stakeholders that are trustworthy, courteous, and mutually beneficial—as well as a high degree of reciprocation. Such a company is more likely to get meaningful information sharing from stakeholders, which may result in efficiency and innovation. These businesses have a great reputation, which increases the appeal of their goods and services to both current and potential customers. Resources are simpler to come by since stakeholders anticipate receiving favourable treatment in return for their contributions to the company. Because stakeholders have greater faith in the company, contracts between a corporation and its stakeholders need less documentation and close attention to detail, which lowers contracting costs. All of this promotes business expansion, efficiency, and adaptability, which increases a company's capacity for both planning and executing goals. Basically, these businesses function more efficiently. These kinds of businesses are also considerably less likely to suffer from unfavourable stakeholder activities like walkouts, boycotts, litigation, and unfavourable news. As a result, investors could consider their assets to be less risky (and hence, more valuable).

## Evolution

Almost everyone who works in the stakeholder field acknowledges R. Edward Freeman, currently of the Darden School at the University of Virginia, as its intellectual leader. Stakeholder theory is based on some simple concepts and principles whose exact origins are impossible to trace. According to Freeman, many of the concepts in his seminal book *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach* were created at the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton Applied Research Centre in association with associates like James R. Emshoff, Arthur Finnel, Ian Mitroff (and Richard Mason), Thomas Saaty, Russel Ackoff, and Eric Trist. But out of all of these academics, Freeman wrote the book in 1984 that served as the conceptual foundation for a whole field of management research and discussion.

Freeman believed he was creating a strategic planning process textbook that both executives and students could utilise. This book is really practical. It was designed specifically to assist managers (and aspiring managers) in successfully leading their organisations in a setting that has become more complicated, tumultuous, and linked. The business ethics literature was where the book's largest impact on academics was first seen. Because of its moral grounding, business ethics researchers have welcomed the stakeholder approach to management. It was particularly useful for social responsibility experts who needed to justify socially responsible company behaviour. Ironically, despite the fact that many of its early thought leaders pushed for a strategic management methodology that included morality and social responsibility, the developing strategic management discipline for which the book was meant generally disregarded Freeman's work. The early disregard for stakeholder theory by strategists may have been caused, at least in part, by the field's focus on economic models from the 1980s forward.

Stakeholder theory has seen a surge in interest in recent years, to the point that it might now be considered a discipline of academia, although one that is quite broad. Numerous highly publicised business scandals that have increased public awareness of ethical issues, an increasingly complex and interconnected external environment that stakeholder theory is especially well suited to address, an admission by business scholars and managers that a focus on short-term financial returns has had unfavourable effects on businesses and society, and a global sustainability movement are all likely contributing factors.

A book from 2010 titled Stakeholder Theory: The State of the Art, which has almost a thousand references, demonstrates the diversity of the field. It includes references from the disciplines of economics, strategic management, finance, marketing, management, accounting, information technology, health care, law, business ethics, social responsibility, environmental policy, and public policy/administration. In 2011, researchers and practitioners from 25 different countries attended a conference on stakeholder theory in Barcelona, and a stakeholder strategy special interest group has recently been established inside the Strategic Management Society, meant to encourage discussion and investigation. Much of the stakeholder theory work to date has been dedicated to either defining and justifying the stakeholder viewpoint or, from an empirical perspective, demonstrating that it is economically reasonable to try to satisfy a large number of stakeholders. Stakeholder theory presents a chance to rethink capitalism as a means of producing value for stakeholders going forward and serves as a lens for identifying the best stakeholder engagement strategies.

### **Importance**

In certain instances, managing for stakeholders entails greater expenses. A company that provides more value to its stakeholders than is absolutely necessary to maintain their involvement with the company may pay employees more in wages and benefits than its rivals do and is likely to present a more alluring value proposition to its clients than the market might otherwise require. Local communities often benefit from the charity and service of company personnel in a number of different ways. The increased levels of contact with and consideration for stakeholders will also result in human and financial expenses for businesses that manage for stakeholders. Many management researchers have stated the notion that managing for stakeholders would likely result in financial advantages that outweigh the expenses, even if stakeholder theory includes a far wider vision of value creation than just financial returns. They contend that managing for stakeholders ought to be connected to better financial performance.

The majority of scientific and anecdotal data to date supports the idea that businesses that manage for stakeholders often do better financially. Even some of the theory's staunchest opponents have come around to the notion that this style of management is consistent with the generation of shareholder value. Because of this, the shareholders vs. stakeholders argument is moot; if the stakeholder strategy produces significant shareholder returns, why should shareholders' advocates be against it? More empirical study is necessary to address the problem of causality. Some studies contend that the favourable association results from the luxury of managing for stakeholders, which comes after financial success. This could be somewhat accurate, but some study suggests that causation can also go the other way.

In other words, effective stakeholder management may improve business performance. However, it's critical to note that since stakeholder theory assesses value in a broader sense than just financial returns, even a company with average financial returns may be producing much more value by offering more usefulness to a broader group of stakeholders. Leading stakeholder scholars are typically more interested in the overall impact of firms that practise this sort of management and in defining a set of best practises for increasing the total value a firm creates. However, research that shows a positive financial correlation with the managing-for-stakeholders approach may be useful in dissuading the shareholder advocates who have typically been its most vocal critics.

The stakeholder idea has significantly changed how businesses operate. The majority of the biggest corporations' annual reports in the United States and many other industrialised countries include the stakeholder idea, or at the very least vocabulary, in some form. The idea is becoming more popular as part of a worldwide movement towards more sustainable or socially responsible business practises. While many businesses today take the idea seriously and work extremely hard to please their key stakeholders, other businesses may just use the word as "window dressing" since it is now politically acceptable. Politicians in certain countries are now embracing the concepts and vocabulary of stakeholder theory when discussing public policy concerns. Stakeholder theory has also made its way into the world of business. The U.N. worldwide Compact, which has 10 principles based on human

rights, labour, the environment, and anticorruption, reflects a worldwide effort to make corporations more accountable to a wider range of stakeholders. The Caux Round Table, an international network of business leaders, and the Conscious Capitalism Institute, which includes academics, corporate executives, consultants, and thought leaders who engage in stakeholder-oriented research, teaching, and practise, are just two of the organisations that have emerged on a global scale to promote stakeholder-friendly business practises. and exercise.

### III. CONCLUSION

The intricate interactions between organisations and their stakeholders have given rise to a useful paradigm known as stakeholder theory. It acknowledges that businesses have duties beyond just maximising shareholder profit and operate within a larger social framework. Stakeholder theory places a strong emphasis on the need of taking into account and attending to the many interests, requirements, and concerns of all stakeholders in order to make decisions that are more responsible and sustainable. Organisations may develop trust and cooperation, strengthen stakeholder relationships, and improve their reputation by adopting a stakeholder-centric strategy. Increased consumer loyalty, access to beneficial resources, more staff satisfaction, and greater resilience in the face of shifting market dynamics are just a few advantages that may follow.

The idea of creating value for other stakeholders, in addition to financial rewards for shareholders, is promoted by the stakeholder theory. It encourages businesses to think about how their decisions will affect society, the environment, and morality, and to work towards solutions that will benefit all parties. This strategy fits with the societal and stakeholder expectations that businesses make meaningful contributions to their local communities and the global community. Stakeholder theory implementation calls for consistent involvement and conversation with stakeholders as well as efficient coordination and cooperation across various organisational departments. It also includes making decisions and carefully negotiating possible conflicts and trade-offs between stakeholder interests. Stakeholder theory acknowledges the connections and interdependence between organisations and their stakeholders, providing a comprehensive view of organisational performance. Organisations may promote long-term sustainability, strengthen connections, and generate shared benefit for all parties involved by adopting the stakeholder paradigm. It is a potent paradigm that may direct organisations in making morally sound, accountable, and socially beneficial choices, thereby promoting a more diverse and sustainable business environment.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Rose, L. S. Flak, and Ø. Sæbø, "Stakeholder theory for the E-government context: Framing a value-oriented normative core," *Gov. Inf. Q.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2018.06.005.
- [2] D. F. Uribe, I. Ortiz-Marcos, and Á. Uruburu, "What is going on with stakeholder theory in project management literature? A symbiotic relationship for sustainability," *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. 2018. doi: 10.3390/su10041300.
- [3] R. Biscaia, D. P. Hedlund, G. Dickson, and M. Naylor, "Conceptualising and measuring fan identity using stakeholder theory," *Eur. Sport Manag. Q.*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/16184742.2017.1413580.
- [4] A. Nurwanah, T. Sutrisno, R. Rosidi, and R. Roekhudin, "Determinants of tax compliance: Theory of planned behavior and stakeholder theory perspective," *Probl. Perspect. Manag.*, 2018, doi: 10.21511/ppm.16(4).2018.33.
- [5] L. Ma, L. Wang, K. J. Wu, M. L. Tseng, and A. S. F. Chiu, "Exploring the decisive risks of green development projects by adopting social network analysis under stakeholder theory," *Sustain.*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10062104.
- [6] R. E. Freeman, "Divergent stakeholder theory," in *Business Ethics and Strategy, Volumes I and II*, 2018. doi: 10.4324/9781315261102-23.

- [7] A. Kaur and S. Lodhia, "Stakeholder engagement in sustainability accounting and reporting: A study of Australian local councils," *Accounting, Audit. Account. J.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/AAAJ-12-2014-1901.
- [8] M. Benlemlih and M. Bitar, "Corporate Social Responsibility and Investment Efficiency," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3020-2.
- [9] R. Manita, M. G. Bruna, R. Dang, and L. Houanti, "Board gender diversity and ESG disclosure: evidence from the USA," *J. Appl. Account. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JAAR-01-2017-0024.
- [10] S. A. Wright and A. E. Schultz, "The rising tide of artificial intelligence and business automation: Developing an ethical framework," *Bus. Horiz.*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 823–832, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.bushor.2018.07.001.