

Self-Fulfilling Prophecy Analysis

Dr. Vinay Muddu

Professor, Masters In Business Administration, Presidency University, Bangalore, India,
Email Id-muddu.vinay@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

In psychology and social sciences, the idea of a self-fulfilling prophecy refers to a phenomenon where a person's ideas or expectations about a situation affect their behaviour and eventually result in the conclusion they expected. An overview of self-fulfilling prophecy, its underlying mechanics, and its effects on people and society are given in this abstract. It investigates how social interactions, expectations, and social ideas affect behaviour and results. It also addresses the effects of self-fulfilling prophecies in a number of contexts, including those of education, employment, and interpersonal relationships. Understanding self-fulfilling prophecies gives important insights into the influence of our expectations and beliefs on reality. It also lays the groundwork for cultivating empowering attitudes and building circumstances that encourage success and well-being.

KEYWORDS:

Expectations, Mangers, Prophecies, Performance, Self-Fulfilling.

I. INTRODUCTION

The premise behind self-fulfilling prophecy is that our expectations and views about a situation might have an impact on how we act, ultimately leading to the event we expected. It emphasises how strongly our ideas and perceptions influence how the world seems to us. Self-fulfilling prophecies have the power to significantly alter people's behaviour and the dynamics of social relationships. Self-fulfilling prophecies work in a circular manner. It starts with someone having a belief or anticipation about a certain result. This expectation or belief may be conscious or unconscious, based on past experiences, societal conventions, or cultural influences. Once a person has this idea, it has an impact on their actions and behaviour, often unknowingly, causing them to behave in a way that matches their expectations. These beliefs may affect a person's behaviour and actions, which in turn can affect how other people see and react to them. By projecting signs, behaviours, and expectations onto others, the person may unintentionally reinforce the initial assumption or expectation. A self-fulfilling prophecy results from this feedback loop when an individual's thoughts and subsequent actions cause the projected result to materialise [1], [2].

Self-fulfilling predictions may come true in a variety of spheres of life. For instance, in education, instructors' expectations for their students' academic progress might affect their pedagogical approaches, the extent of their assistance, and the kind of feedback they offer. Students' perceptions of their skills may be shaped by these behaviours, which may also have an impact on how well they really do. Similar to this, self-fulfilling prophecies may significantly affect interpersonal interactions at work. Individuals may unintentionally act in ways that generate reactions compatible with their ideas when they have specific expectations or assumptions about other people. For instance, if a person thinks their spouse is unreliable, they can act jealously or suspiciously, which might make their partner act defensively or do something that supports the original impression. The relationship may suffer as a result of this cycle, which reinforces the false idea [3], [4].

Self-fulfilling prophecies may have both good and bad outcomes, it's vital to remember that. Positive self-fulfilling prophecies may inspire people to have confidence in their skills, set greater objectives, and take actions that help them succeed. On the other hand, unfavourable self-fulfilling prophecies may lead to lost chances, self-doubt, and a limitation of potential. Understanding how self-fulfilling prophecies work helps us become more conscious of our beliefs and expectations and how they could

affect our actions and the results we encounter. We may direct our behaviour in a manner that fosters personal development, achievement, and wellbeing by creating constructive attitudes and expectations. Additionally, fostering settings of support may work to mitigate the negative impacts of self-fulfilling prophecies by encouraging positive attitudes and dispelling unfavourable preconceptions[5], [6].

The mechanics of self-fulfilling prophecies may be useful in a variety of situations. Teachers may encourage a growth mindset in their pupils by encouraging the idea that intellect and skills can be improved through work and practise. Managers may foster inclusive workplaces where prejudices and preconceptions are challenged, allowing people to flourish and realise their full potential. In interpersonal interactions, people may actively question unfavourable assumptions and speak in ways that foster mutual respect and understanding. Self-fulfilling prophecies serve as examples of how our expectations and beliefs may influence our reality. By understanding and using this phenomena, we may foster constructive attitudes, dispel unfavourable prejudices, and create settings that foster success, happiness, and healthy interpersonal dynamics.

II. DISCUSSION

The self-fulfilling prophecy (SFP) happens when the probability that an event will occur rises as a result of the anticipation that it will. A typical economic illustration is when we purchase something because we anticipate price increases more or earlier, influencing total demand: The group "we" then observes price increases that Most of the time, we helped without being conscious of our own contribution to the event. The Pygmalion effect is a unique instance of SFP when boosting a manager's expectations for employee performance results in an increase in that performance. In educational psychology, the Pygmalion effect first appeared when psychologists experimentally increased elementary school instructors' expectations towards a randomly chosen subsample of their students, leading to higher accomplishment among those students than among control students[7], [8].

According to later studies, both men and women lead male and female subordinates to higher success when they anticipate more of them. This phenomenon has been repeated among supervisors and subordinates in military, corporate, industrial, and service organisations as well as across all four cross-gender combinations. The majority of leader-follower interactions are characterised by interpersonal expectation, and many manager-worker relationships exhibit the Pygmalion effect. The main management insight of the idea is that managers get the staff they anticipate, and that managers may increase effectiveness by expecting more of their subordinates. This item discusses the prevalence of various types of SFP, explains the psychological processes by which it functions, and offers useful managerial applications[9], [10].

Fundamentals

Raising leader expectations has been linked to improved subordinate performance, according to a number of ideas. All share a common causal chain that starts with the leader's expectations of and behaviour towards subordinates (i.e., leadership), which in turn causes the subordinates to respond with motivation, and ends with subordinate performance that meets the leader's expectations. The main motivating mediator in this process is self-efficacy. Self-efficacy is the conviction that a person can effectively carry out the behaviours required for performance. Self-efficacy is a key factor in determining motivation and performance, according to a large body of research. People work harder when they feel they have what it takes to achieve. On the other hand, people who have doubts about their potential to achieve don't put up the necessary effort to put their skills to use, which leads to underperformance. According to the manager-as-Pygmalion paradigm, a leader with high expectations treats their followers in a way that increases their sense of self-efficacy. This inspires the followers to work harder, which leads to improved performance. Thus, a leader who has high performance standards and has faith in their followers' ability to succeed will experience the Pygmalion effect. Managers with high expectations help their followers succeed by boosting their self-efficacy, so fulfilling their prophecy through an interpersonal process that is mostly unconscious.

SFP, however, has two sides to it: In the same way that having high expectations improves performance, having low expectations worsens performance in a negative SFP known as the "Golem effect." In Yiddish and Hebrew slang, the word "golem" implies "dumbbell." Dumbbells are given to managers who anticipate dumbbells. According to experiments, golem effects may be reduced by letting managers know that employees with average to poor credentials have a decent chance of succeeding. The "Galatea effect" is another kind of SFP. This intrapersonal expectation effect, so named after the monument the mythological Pygmalion created, exclusively affects the employee. Self-starters succeed because they believe in their own ability to succeed and use internal motivating resources to continue the work necessary for success without the help of an external source (such as a supervisor) with high expectations. Galatea effects may, however, also resemble golems. People who have a poor sense of themselves anticipate failure; as a result, they avoid employing their skills, tragically but unknowingly fulfilling their own pessimistic forecast.

What people think about their own internal resources that they may use to further their aims is known as self-efficacy. Research has shown the beneficial effects of increasing external efficacy, or "means efficacy," in addition to the motivating influence of increasing workers' self-efficacy. Simply convincing employees of the value of the resources (i.e., means) at their disposal for carrying out the task stimulates effort intensification and results in higher performance without affecting self-efficacy. A computer, a weapon, a colleague, a subordinate, or a training session may be the method. A successful SFP process is sparked by faith in the effectiveness of the means, such as faith in one's own abilities, which raises expectations for achievement. External efficacy may also refer to sources of success expectations that are apart from one another. Some speak about assumptions about whether external circumstances are favourable or unfavourable. This constitutes "circumstantial efficacy." Examples include having the home court advantage and winning the coin toss to start a game. A competitor's skill level or the relative simplicity or complexity of a certain sales region are additional examples of circumstantial effectiveness. Our contextual effectiveness is diminished if we anticipate fierce competitors, hostile terrain, and unfavourable weather for our kind of activities. We would do better if we anticipated favourable circumstances, had little difficulty competing, and felt good omens.

Consider a job application to explain the subtleties. Is this the type of work I'm made out for? he could think to himself. The self-efficacy question is presented below. The question of whether they will provide him the resources he needs to succeed may also cross his mind. The issue of means effectiveness is this. Finally, he can think about who else is applying for the position, the number of applicants, their qualifications, and the number of opportunities. These would be inquiries into the validity of circumstantial evidence. The latter does not relate to the applicant's own skills or the tools at hand; rather, it deals with outside variables that are not covered by self-efficacy or means efficacy and may have an impact on his expectations for success and drive to work hard, ultimately determining success or failure. According to research, telling rivals they had an edge increased their circumstantial effectiveness, which in turn increased their possibility of winning; conversely, telling opponents they were at a disadvantage significantly decreased their chances of winning.

Similar to the other sources of effectiveness beliefs, circumstantial efficacy gives managers the chance to influence SFP so that it works for them and their employees rather than against them. Managers might convince their employees that the competition isn't that fierce or that although working on someone else's territory can be disadvantageous for us, we have resources that make up for it and give us the upper hand. Overall, the situation is in our favour. Last but not least, studies have shown group-level expectancy effects, in which improving a manager's expectations for a group as a whole, as opposed to expectations for specific people, results in that group outperforming control groups. This is crucial in team sports and in the way that contemporary organisations have come to define themselves via collaboration. The sharing of expectations is an intriguing yet enigmatic part of interpersonal SFP. While most of this communication is unconscious and vocal, some of it is.

Managers communicate their expectations, whether they are high or low, to their staff members via a variety of nonverbal behaviours. When they demand more, they unintentionally nod their heads in agreement more often, move closer physically, keep eye contact, talk quickly, and demonstrate a lot of

tolerance with the people they are in charge of. These nonverbal actions "warm" the interpersonal connection, boost achievement, and provide a supportive environment. These nonverbal behaviours affect workers subconsciously and go unnoticed "below their radar." As a result, SFP acts outside of both parties' conscious consciousness. This explains why supervisors and staff members have little to no knowledge about it. Those of whom more is anticipated from leaders are also given more opportunity to contribute, get criticism, and demonstrate their abilities, while those of whom less is expected are ignored and placed "on the bench."

In other words, managers provide their best leadership to those employees they believe will succeed while withholding it from the rest. They do it accidentally, yet by doing so, they unknowingly fulfil their predictions. The Pygmalion studies illustrate how unconscious the process is when leaders assert that people who were chosen at random as having greater potential genuinely have higher potential and that they had nothing to do with the outcome. Persuading participating leaders that the designations of potential had been random often requires a lot of work. Thankfully, the high standards that drive improved performance also increase subordinate contentment. Every Pygmalion experiment that examined satisfaction showed a considerable rise in it. This is not shocking at all. Because most people want to succeed and are happier when they do, high expectations and the greater performance they provide are pleasant. So far as the Pygmalion effect is concerned, all the news is excellent.

Importance

The Pygmalion impact in management is medium to big in size, according to meta-analyses. Due to the fact that Pygmalion's research is totally grounded in field testing, it has exceptional internal and external validity. The field conditions and experimental methodology both support the causal relationship between leader expectations and follower performance. The Pygmalion effect's practical applicability has to be shown. Despite several replications having the desired impact in numerous organisations, manager application training initiatives have had less success. The degree to which managers are already familiar with their employees looks to be a significant obstacle to general application. Almost all of the successful SFP replications have included newcomers whose supervisors were unfamiliar with them. It seems that familiarity crystallises expectations since managers do not anticipate significant change from their employees. Therefore, supervisors and their new subordinates may be where the best applications are developed.

SFP impacts are especially favoured by organisational innovations and other breaks from routine that unfreeze regular operating procedure. A window of opportunity is opened by organisational development interventions or significant changes in organisational structure or function brought on, example, by mergers and acquisitions or people transfers. Smart managers capitalise on these disturbing occurrences and boost expectations to support effective transformation and beneficial results. In one well-known industrial example, simple job rotation and job enrichment introduced along with information that raised expectations for the new procedures resulted in appreciable increases in productivity; however, neither innovation increased productivity in the absence of information that raised expectations. The obvious practical result is: Any kind of transition offers managers the chance to develop useful SFP. It is the responsibility of those who wish to guide people, teams, and organisations to success to set high expectations whenever the chance arises. On the other hand, cynical denials of reorganisations, innovations, or developmental initiatives guarantee their failure. Therefore, setting high expectations and addressing indications of opposite expectations are both on the SFP agenda for managers.

Intentionally producing SFP effects might lead to an ethical conundrum. High expectations being communicated as a management tactic in the absence of the boss really believing in the subordinate's potential may raise some ethical concerns in the minds of some. Those who focus on only true communication and unwavering honesty in interpersonal interactions could be hesitant to provide any message that is not entirely accurate. Such resistance, then, might mean giving up a powerful instrument for raising the motivation and productivity of subordinates. Even worse, complete transparency in communication will ultimately lead to an inefficient use of the existing human resources if it

necessitates letting subordinates know about their flaws and expressing true expectations of failure. Few managers will be so naive as to voice these reservations, but many will abstain from making claims that are more optimistic than their true evaluations in order to generate favourable SFP. Sadly, avoiding from doing so will cost them dearly since they will not experience the increase in subordinates' production that comes with setting high expectations. The bigger fact is that performance is more likely to improve when high expectations are communicated, even when they are uncertain.

The fundamental idea of SFP in management is that managers hire the people they want. If you ask for more, you will get more. The inverse is also true: If you expect less, you will get less. In order to create strong self-efficacy and high aspirations for their own success, all managers should strive to play the Pygmalion role by articulating high expectations for their subordinates' potential. High expectations should be a component of all manager-worker interactions and all management training and development initiatives because they are too crucial to be left to chance or whim.

III. CONCLUSION

The self-fulfilling prophecy theory emphasises the important role that thoughts and expectations play in guiding our actions and eventually influencing the results we encounter. Our views may be reinforced by a cycle of behaviours and reactions, whether in the context of school, the workplace, or interpersonal interactions. Depending on the nature of our ideas, this process may result in either favourable or unfavourable results. Understanding the influence of self-fulfilling prophecies offers a chance for development and constructive transformation. We may actively question unhelpful or restricting ideas by being conscious of our own beliefs and expectations in order to replace them with more uplifting and powerful ones. This may cause a change in our behaviour, resulting in better results and more achievement. Furthermore, being aware of self-fulfilling prophecies enables us to engage with people in a more thoughtful and deliberate manner. We may affect people's behaviours and reactions by encouraging optimistic attitudes and expectations about them. This fosters a nurturing and empowering atmosphere that brings out the best in everyone.

It is essential to remember that self-fulfilling prophecies are impacted by social and cultural elements in addition to being a phenomena that just affects a person. Our views and expectations about certain groups of individuals may be influenced by stereotypes and prejudices, which can result in systemic patterns of inequality and discrimination. We may endeavour to create a culture that encourages good self-fulfilling prophecies for all people by combating these harmful stereotypes and advocating for inclusion. In conclusion, self-fulfilling prophecies show how our thoughts, actions, and results are related. We may start a positive cycle that drives us towards achievement, development, and wellbeing by leveraging the power of our ideas and perceptions. We can create a future where self-fulfilling prophecies work in our favour, allowing ourselves and everyone around us to realise our full potential, by being self-aware, taking deliberate acts, and advocating for inclusion.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Wan and X. Yang, "An empirical study of the self-fulfilling prophecy effect in Chinese stock market," *J. Financ. Data Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jfds.2019.04.001.
- [2] K. E. Wartenberg *et al.*, "Gap Analysis Regarding Prognostication in Neurocritical Care: A Joint Statement from the German Neurocritical Care Society and the Neurocritical Care Society," *Neurocrit. Care*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12028-019-00769-6.
- [3] J. Zajda, "Research on Discrimination and Self-fulfilling Prophecy in Schools Globally," *Educ. Soc.*, 2019, doi: 10.7459/es/37.1.05.
- [4] P. J. W. Du Toit, S. C. Burd, T. Konrad, and H. Uys, "Real-time state estimation and feedback control of an oscillating qubit via self-fulfilling prophecy (SFP)," *Metrologia*, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1681-7575/aaf7ad.
- [5] G. Malinowski, "China, geopolitics and geoeconomics. How not to fall into the trap of

narration?,” *Acta Oeconomica*, 2019, doi: 10.1556/032.2019.69.4.2.

- [6] Gerald G. Smale, “The self-fulfilling prophecy,” in *Psychology Library Editions: Personality*, 2019.
- [7] R. L. Allen and D. D. Liou, “Managing Whiteness: The Call for Educational Leadership to Breach the Contractual Expectations of White Supremacy,” *Urban Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0042085918783819.
- [8] N. Barnes, B. van Gelderen, and K. Rampmeyer, “It’s just a matter of time: The perceptions of growing our own students of the Growing Our Own program,” *Learn. Communities Int. J. Learn. Soc. Context.*, 2019, doi: 10.18793/lcj2019.25.03.
- [9] S. E. Zhou, C. B. Maciel, C. H. Ormseth, R. Beekman, E. J. Gilmore, and D. M. Greer, “Distinct predictive values of current neuroprognostic guidelines in post-cardiac arrest patients,” *Resuscitation*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.03.035.
- [10] R. E. A. Farmer, “The Indeterminacy Agenda in Macroeconomics,” *NBER Work. Pap. Ser.*, 2019.