

A Study on Features of the Design Process

Ms. Appaji Gowda Shwetha

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Presidency University, Bangalore, India
Email Id-shwetha.a@presidencyuniversity.in

ABSTRACT:

Engineering, architecture, product development, and graphic design are just a few of the industries that apply the systematic and iterative design process. It entails developing solutions to fulfill particular goals or specifications. The major stages, guiding principles, and the value of a multidisciplinary approach are highlighted in this abstract, which gives a high-level summary of the design process. The problem or need that has to be addressed must normally be clearly understood before the design process can begin. Gathering data, doing research, and determining the project's objectives and limitations are all part of the first step. The project's scope, user needs, and any pertinent technical, economic, or environmental factors must all be defined. The process of coming up with ideas and concepts begins when the problem has been identified. This is frequently referred to as the ideation phase, where many design options are explored through brainstorming, sketching, and prototyping. The focus is on innovating and coming up with a variety of potential solutions. The design process enters the review and selection stage after the ideation phase. This entails comparing many design solutions to predetermined standards, including those for affordability, sustainability, functionality, and beauty.

KEYWORDS:

Account, Design, Performance, Structural, Strength, Safety.

I. INTRODUCTION

A crucial step in the creation of any structure, system, or product is the design phase. It entails converting concepts, specifications, and limitations into observable and practical solutions. The design process includes several organized phases and tasks that enable designers to produce inventive, useful, and aesthetically beautiful results. While taking technical, economic, environmental, and social considerations into account, the design process objective is to satisfy the demands and expectations of users, clients, or stakeholders. It calls for a blend of inventiveness, technical know-how, problem-solving abilities, and good communication. A thorough understanding of the project requirements and constraints is often the first step in the design process. This may involve compiling data, carrying out research, examining current solutions, and determining user requirements. The problem definition and the creation of the project's goals and objectives are the main topics of the first phase [1], [2].

The design team starts by coming up with ideas and concepts after the requirements are well stated. Brainstorming, sketching, prototyping, and investigating many options are all part of this ideation phase. The designers make an effort to come up with original and workable ideas that solve the challenge at hand and satisfy the project's needs. The created concepts will now be evaluated and improved in the following step in the design process. This entails evaluating each idea's advantages and disadvantages while taking technological viability, functionality, aesthetics, and other pertinent factors into account. To evaluate the effectiveness and confirm the viability of concepts, prototypes, user input, and simulations may be used [3], [4].

The designers decide which concept or combination of concepts to pursue based on the evaluation and feedback. Following that, they create intricate designs while taking into account details like materials, dimensions, production techniques, structural integrity, and ergonomics. To make sure that the design is technically solid and realizable within the specified restrictions, this phase frequently entails collaboration with engineers, manufacturers, and other stakeholders. The finished comprehensive design is then captured in drawings, specifications, and other pertinent documentation. The construction, manufacturing, or implementation phases can use this information as a reference. It offers the guidelines and information required for the design's successful execution [5], [6].

Collaboration and effective communication among the team, clients, and stakeholders is essential throughout the design process. Everyone engaged must have a shared understanding of the design goals, requirements, and development because of clear and succinct communication. Iteration and frequent feedback helps to improve the

design and handle any problems or issues that may occur. the design process is a methodical and iterative way to develop solutions that adhere to particular needs and limitations. From problem formulation and idea generation to evaluation, improvement, and documentation, it entails several processes. To produce successful designs that satisfy user needs and contribute to the overall project objectives, the process calls for a balance of creativity, technical expertise, and teamwork. Engineering, architecture, product development, and graphic design are just a few of the industries that apply the systematic and iterative design process. It entails developing solutions to fulfill particular goals or specifications. The major stages, guiding principles, and the value of a multidisciplinary approach are highlighted in this abstract, which gives a high-level summary of the design process [7], [8].

The problem or need that has to be addressed must normally be clearly understood before the design process can begin. Gathering data, doing research, and determining the project's objectives and limitations are all part of the first step. The project's scope, user needs, and any pertinent technical, economic, or environmental factors must all be defined. The process of coming up with ideas and concepts begins when the problem has been identified. This is frequently referred to as the ideation phase, where many design options are explored through brainstorming, sketching, and prototyping. The focus is on innovating and coming up with a variety of potential solutions. The design process enters the review and selection stage after the ideation phase. This entails comparing many design solutions to predetermined standards, including those for affordability, sustainability, functionality, and beauty. It is possible to create and test prototypes and simulations to verify design choices and gauge performance [9], [10].

One or more design concepts are picked for future development based on the evaluation. Detail-oriented engineering or architectural design, material choice, and consideration of manufacturing or construction techniques are all included in this. To ensure a thorough and well-integrated design, interdisciplinary teams must work together and communicate effectively. The implementation or realization phase is the last step in the design process. This entails turning the design concept into a real-world building or product. The project enters the construction or manufacturing phase after the preparation of construction drawings, specifications, and fabrication details. To make sure the design objective is carried out throughout this phase, careful monitoring, quality control, and project management are necessary.

The design process is iterative rather than linear, with numerous stages involving feedback loops and changes. Based on fresh insights, user input, and technical limitations, designers continuously assess and improve their concepts. The design solution can be continuously enhanced and optimized thanks to this iterative process. Effective communication, collaboration, and coordination among the many stakeholders including designers, engineers, clients, end users, and manufacturers are essential to a successful design process. The design process can produce creative and sustainable solutions that satisfy the needs of both the user and the larger context by embracing a variety of viewpoints and specializations. From problem conception to implementation, the design process is a methodical and creative approach to problem-solving. It demands interdisciplinary cooperation, an iterative mindset, and a multidisciplinary approach. Designers can create efficient and ground-breaking solutions that tackle difficult problems in a variety of industries by adhering to a clearly defined design process.

II. DISCUSSION

The Design Process

The decision-making process involved in design is sequential and iterative. The following are the three main phases:

1. Identification of The Client's Priorities and Need

The entirety of all constructions are created to meet a need. The owner or user must participate in choosing the building's characteristics. Functional needs, aesthetic requirements, and financial requirements are some of them. These latter expenses include the initial price, an additional fee for quick construction to allow for early occupancy, maintenance, and other life-cycle expenses.

2. Creation of the project concept

The client's needs and priorities are taken into account as we construct a variety of potential layouts. The ultimate decision on the system to be utilized is based on how well the entire design fits the client's needs within the available budget after preliminary cost estimates have been generated. The most cost-effective systems are typically those that are conceptually straightforward and have standardized geometries and details that enable construction to advance through a series of identical cycles. The overall structural idea is chosen at this point. Preliminary member sizes are chosen for each potential scheme based on approximations of the analyses of the

moments, shears, and axial forces. After doing this, it will be possible to determine prices and choose the ideal structural system. The fundamental goal of the structural design process at this point is to meet the requirements for appropriateness, economy, and, to some extent, maintainability.

3. Individual system design

The structural system can be designed when the general layout and structural concept have been decided upon. Three basic steps are involved in structural design. A structural analysis is conducted based on the preliminary design chosen in step 2 to ascertain the moments, shears, torques, and axial forces within the structure. Following that, the individual members are proportioned to withstand these stress impacts. The proportioning, also known as member design, must take into account the ultimate structure's sustainability as well as its overall aesthetics, constructability, and compatibility with mechanical and electrical systems. Construction drawings and specifications are created as the process's last step.

Limit state and the design of reinforced concrete

A key idea in the design of reinforced concrete structures is to limit state design. It entails taking into account the serviceability limit state (SLS) and the ultimate limit state (ULS). Throughout the structure's service life, the design process seeks to maintain the structure's safety and functionality under the predicted loads and environmental circumstances. The limit state design technique and its importance in the design of reinforced concrete structures will be covered in this article.

Ultimate Limit State (ULS): The structural stability and strength of the reinforced concrete elements are the primary concerns of the ultimate limit state. It describes the circumstance in which the building reaches its maximum load-bearing capability without collapsing or failing. The ULS evaluates the structure's resilience to different failure modes, including flexure, shear, and compression. The ULS takes into account the reinforced concrete beams, slabs, and other materials' ability to withstand bending moments and shear forces. It makes sure that the elements are not subjected to bending and shear loads that are greater than their maximum capacities.

Shear Strength: The ULS measures a reinforced concrete element's capacity to withstand shear stresses without failing, such as beams and slabs. To avoid shear failure, proper shear reinforcement details and design are crucial.

Compression Strength: Using the ULS, reinforced concrete columns, walls, and other compression members are assessed for their ability to support axial loads without buckling or severe deformation. It makes sure that the elements' maximum capacities are not exceeded by the compressive forces placed on them. In order to make sure that the elements can securely handle the projected loads, the design for the ULS entails determining the necessary quantity and configuration of reinforcement, choosing suitable concrete grades, and computing structural capacities and resistance factors. The reinforced concrete structure's functionality and toughness during its service life are the focus of the serviceability limit state (SLS). It makes sure that there isn't too much deflection, cracking, or vibration and that the construction functions as it should. In the SLS, variables including deflection, fracture control, vibration, and durability are taken into account.

- a. **Deflection:** The SLS makes sure that reinforced concrete components like beams, slabs, and floors don't budge too much when subjected to service loads. The structure's performance, appearance, and operation may all be impacted by excessive deflection.
- b. **Cracking:** The SLS makes sure that the size and production of cracks in reinforced concrete components stay within permissible ranges. The durability and long-term performance of the structure may be harmed by excessive cracking.
- c. **Vibration and Resonance:** The SLS takes into account how reinforced concrete structures react dynamically to dynamic loads like wind or vibrations caused by traffic. To prevent resonance and excessive vibrations, the design makes sure that the structure's natural frequencies are beyond the expected excitation frequency range.
- d. Long-term performance of reinforced concrete structures in terms of resistance to environmental conditions, such as corrosion, chemical assault, and weathering, are addressed by the SLS. Measures are included in the design to guarantee enough concrete coverage, good detailing of the reinforcement, and the use of suitable building materials to increase longevity. Considerations for the SLS's design include deflection restrictions, crack prevention, vibration analysis, and durability standards. Throughout its useful life, it attempts to maintain the structure's functionality, safety, and aesthetic appeal.

Different design codes and standards offer guidelines, formulas, and design charts to help engineers determine the necessary reinforcement, concrete grades, and dimensions for various structural elements in both the ULS and

SLS designs. These regulations and standards aid in ensuring that reinforced concrete structures are created and built to adhere to the essential performance and safety criteria. The limit state design method, in general, makes sure that reinforced concrete structures are built to handle predicted loads and environmental conditions while preserving their usability, safety, and durability throughout their service life.

Limit-States Design

To ensure the safety, functionality, and durability of structures, limit-state design is a method used in engineering. It entails taking into account various limit states or circumstances where the building could malfunction or stop being suitable for the use for which it was designed. The limit-states design method is frequently utilized in the creation of reinforced concrete, steel, and wood constructions. The idea of limit-state design and its importance in structural engineering will be discussed in this article. The major goal of limit-state design is to make sure that a structure is safe and usable for the duration of its intended service life under all predicted loads and environmental conditions. The serviceability limit state (SLS) and the ultimate limit state (ULS) are the two primary sorts of limit states used in this strategy.

The strength and stability of the structure are the main concerns in the ultimate limit state (ULS). The maximal weights and circumstances that the structure can sustain without collapsing or failing are taken into account. The ULS entails examining many failure modes, including bending, shear, compression, and torsion, and determining whether the structural elements are strong and capable of withstanding these forces.

- a. The ULS examines the structural members' capacity to withstand bending moments and makes sure that they do not go over their maximum allowable stresses.
- b. **Shear:** The ULS guarantees the structure's ability to withstand shear forces without failing. To avoid shear failure, proper shear reinforcement details and design are essential.
- c. **Compression:** The ULS looks at a compression member's ability to support axial loads without buckling or severe deformation. This includes walls, columns, and other compression members.
- d. **Torsion:** The ULS takes into account a structure's capacity to withstand torsional stresses without breaking or deforming excessively.

Engineers use the proper design techniques and safety considerations to determine the maximum loads and forces that the structure can withstand for each failure mode. The design process entails choosing appropriate materials, figuring out the necessary reinforcing and proportions, and ensuring that the structure satisfies the necessary strength and stability standards. The structure's usability and durability are the main concerns of the serviceability limit state (SLS). This guarantees that the structure withstands service loads without excessive deflection, vibration, cracking, or other serviceability problems. Deflection, fracture management, vibration, and long-term durability are all things that the SLS takes into account.

- a. **Deflection:** To avoid problems with functionality, appearance, and occupant comfort, the SLS makes sure that the structure's deflections under regular service loads are within acceptable bounds.
- b. **Cracking:** The SLS examines structural parts to ensure that the formation and width of cracks are within permissible ranges. The durability and long-term performance of the structure may be compromised by excessive cracking.
- c. **Vibration:** The SLS investigates how dynamic stresses, such as wind or traffic-induced vibrations, affect the structure. To prevent resonance and excessive vibrations, the design makes sure that the structure's natural frequencies are beyond the expected excitation frequency range.
- d. Long-term performance and resistance to environmental concerns including corrosion, chemical assault, and weathering are both addressed by the SLS. To increase longevity, proper material selection, sufficient concrete coverage, and suitable reinforcement detailing are taken into account.

The SLS design strives to maintain the structure's usability, safety, and aesthetic appeal throughout its service life. It includes establishing standards for durability requirements, crack control, deflection limits, and vibration analysis. Engineers use design norms, standards, and laws related to the structural material (such as ACI, AISC, and Eurocode) to direct the design process in both ULS and SLS designs. These codes offer methods, design diagrams, and instructions for calculating loads, choosing materials, figuring out dimensions, and deciding reinforcement.

Basic Design Relationship

The basic design relationship, which defines the connection between the applied loads, the structural reaction, and the design of the structural parts, is a key idea in engineering. By ensuring that the applied loads are

effectively sustained and dispersed across the structural components, it serves as the foundation for constructing safe and effective structures. We shall examine the main tenets of the fundamental design connection and its importance in structural engineering in this essay.

The fundamental design relationship has three essential parts:

Applied Loads: Determining and comprehending the applied loads constitutes the initial part of the design relationship. These loads can include wind loads, seismic loads, other dynamic loads, live loads (temporary weights brought on by occupants, equipment, or environmental variables), dead loads (the structure's and its components' permanent weight), and living loads. Design codes, standards, and specifications for a particular project are used to establish the size, distribution, and type of these loads.

Structural Reaction: The structural reaction, or how the structure deforms and responds to the applied loads, is the second element of the design relationship. The structure's material characteristics, shape, and boundary conditions all have an impact on how it responds. To forecast how a structure would behave under various load scenarios, structural analysis techniques including mathematical modeling, finite element analysis, and computer simulations are used. The study aids in identifying variables including deflections, stresses, strains, and deformations, which are crucial in assessing the structure's performance and safety.

Structural Design: The structural design is the third element in the basic design relationship. It entails choosing the right materials, proportions, and reinforcement to guarantee that the structure can safely sustain the applied loads while meeting performance and safety standards. The size and shape of structural components, such as beams, columns, slabs, and foundations, as well as the necessary reinforcement and connections, are all determined throughout the design process. Design codes and standards include instructions, calculations, and charts to help engineers choose the proper design parameters and guarantee the system's stability and structural integrity. The fundamental design relationship creates a clear connection between applied loads, structural reaction, and structural element design. It is essential for striking a balance in structural design between safety, effectiveness, and economics. Engineers may create structural elements that are strong, stiff, and long-lasting by comprehending the loads occurring on the structure and precisely forecasting its response.

The Basic Design Relationship's Importance

Safety: The fundamental design relationship guarantees that structures are built to bear applied loads without failing or collapsing. Engineers may make sure that the structure has enough strength to handle the loads for the duration of its service life by taking the worst-case situations into account and applying the relevant safety factors.

Efficiency: By using the fundamental design relationship, engineers can use materials and resources more efficiently. Engineers can spot areas of excessive stress or deformation and adjust the design by precisely assessing the structural response to various load conditions. This optimization results in more economical use of resources, lower building expenses, and greater structural performance.

Economy: The basic design connection enables engineers to build structures that are both safe and cost-effective by creating a direct relationship between the applied loads and the design of the structural parts. Engineers can achieve a balance between the cost of construction and the desired level of structural performance by choosing appropriate materials, sizes, and reinforcement.

Performance: The fundamental design relationship makes sure that the building satisfies the required performance standards. Engineers can evaluate elements like deflection limits, fracture control, vibration characteristics, and durability requirements by properly predicting the structural reaction. Designing structures that offer the desired functionality, aesthetics, and long-term performance is made easier thanks to this.

In conclusion, the fundamental design relationship serves as the design framework for structural engineering. It demonstrates the relationship between the applied loads, the structural reaction, and the structural element designs.

Structural Safety

A structure is said to be structurally safe when it can resist the loads applied to it and the surrounding conditions without failing or collapsing. Making sure that structures are built to satisfy the necessary safety requirements is a crucial component of engineering design. It is important to assess the performance of connections and components as well as the material strength, load capabilities, and structural stability. Structural safety can be

attained by using suitable design strategies, building methods, and maintenance procedures. This will ensure the protection of people and property as well as increase the durability and functionality of the structure.

Strength Design

The process of building structures to securely handle the applied loads is known as strength design, often referred to as ultimate strength design or load and resistance factor design (LRFD). It is founded on the idea that structural elements must be strong and capable of withstanding the highest possible loads and forces without rupturing or collapsing. We shall examine the idea of strength design, its foundational ideas, and its significance in structural engineering in this article.

Important Ideas in Strength Design:

LRFD, or Load and Resistance Factor Design

The LRFD approach to strength design takes into account both the loads placed on the structure and the resistance offered by the structural elements. Design norms and standards are used to determine the loads, such as dead loads, live loads, wind loads, and seismic loads. The nominal strength of the structural elements is then adjusted with resistance factors to account for changes in load, building methods, and material qualities.

Strength and Limit States: The strength limit state (SLS) and the serviceability limit state (SLS) are the two main states that are the focus of strength design. The structure can safely sustain the imposed loads without failing thanks to the strength limit state. The structure's functionality and compliance with the intended performance standards, such as deflection limits, vibration control, and durability requirements, are guaranteed by the serviceability limit state.

A factor of Safety: A safety margin is added to the calculated loads and strengths as part of the strength design process. The factor of safety ensures that the structure has a sufficient safety margin against failure by accounting for uncertainties in material qualities, design assumptions, and load changes. The amount of reliability sought for the construction, the structural materials, and the design codes all affect the safety factor.

Material Characteristics and Design Strength: Strength design takes into account the structural elements' material characteristics, such as their design strengths and whether they are made of concrete, steel, or wood. Compressive strength, tensile strength, yield strength, and elastic modulus are only a few of the material characteristics that are important in defining the capability and behavior of structural parts under stress. Applying the proper safety factors to the material attributes yields design strengths.

Strength design's importance

Safety: Ensuring the safety and structural integrity of the intended structure is the main goal of strength design. Strength design makes sure that the structure can bear the loads without failing or collapsing by taking into account the maximum expected loads and applying the proper safety measures. For the preservation of both human life and property, this is essential.

Efficiency: Structural materials and dimensions can be optimized with the help of strength design. Engineers can design structures that are both safe and efficient by taking into account the real loadings and using the necessary safety factors. This optimization results in more efficient use of resources, lower building expenses, and better structural performance.

Performance: When designing for strength, the structure's desired performance standards are taken into account. By doing this, you may be sure that the structure satisfies your expectations for its deflection limits, vibrational properties, and endurance. As a result, structures are produced that not only adhere to safety regulations but also offer the intended functionality, aesthetics, and long-term performance. Strength design is widely accepted and used in design codes and standards; thus, it is compatible with those codes. Engineers can ensure conformance with the current standards and regulations and provide a systematic approach to structural design by adhering to strength design principles. strength design is an essential technique in structural engineering that guarantees the performance, effectiveness, and safety of structures. Strength design helps engineers to create buildings that can safely sustain the loads while fulfilling the intended performance requirements by taking into account the applied loads, material qualities, and suitable safety measures.

III. CONCLUSION

Any engineering project's design phase is essential because it establishes the groundwork for the successful construction of a secure, useful, and effective building. Engineers use a variety of ideas, methodologies, and considerations to translate project requirements into practical design solutions throughout the design process. In conclusion, the process of designing a structure entail envisioning, assessing, and fine-tuning the design in an organized and iterative manner. It includes the project's inception, data gathering, conceptual design, analysis and assessment, detailed design, and documentation, among other stages. The safety, dependability, and performance of structures are largely dependent on the design process. It entails taking into account the applied loads, the material characteristics, and the proper design norms and standards. Various issues, including structural stability, durability, constructability, and cost-effectiveness, must also be addressed. Engineers can anticipate and handle possible problems, make knowledgeable judgments, maximize the use of resources, and reduce risks by employing a systematic design approach. It permits cross-disciplinary teams to work together and communicate, guaranteeing that various factors, including environmental, mechanical, electrical, and structural issues, are properly integrated.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Y. Kim, "A design methodology using prototyping based on the digital-physical models in the architectural design process," *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11164416.
- [2] S. A. Ollenburg, "A futures-design-process model for participatory futures," *J. Futur. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.6531/JFS.201906_23(4).0006.
- [3] E. Sung and T. R. Kelley, "Identifying design process patterns: a sequential analysis study of design thinking," *Int. J. Technol. Des. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10798-018-9448-1.
- [4] C. Cavalliere, G. Habert, G. R. Dell'Osso, and A. Hollberg, "Continuous BIM-based assessment of embodied environmental impacts throughout the design process," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.11.247.
- [5] M. Mcharek, M. Hammadi, T. Azib, C. Larouci, and J. Y. Choley, "Collaborative design process and product knowledge methodology for mechatronic systems," *Comput. Ind.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.compind.2018.12.008.
- [6] S. Demis and V. G. Papadakis, "Durability design process of reinforced concrete structures - Service life estimation, problems and perspectives," *J. Build. Eng.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jobbe.2019.100876.
- [7] D. Eissa, "Concept generation in the architectural design process: A suggested hybrid model of vertical and lateral thinking approaches," *Think. Ski. Creat.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.tsc.2019.100589.
- [8] C. J. Atman, "Design timelines: Concrete and sticky representations of design process expertise," *Des. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.destud.2019.10.004.
- [9] J. F. dos Santos and R. A. C. de Souza, "Design process oriented to social innovation," *Rev. Bras. Comput. Apl.*, 2019.
- [10] Y. Tekmen-Araci and B. Kuys, "The impact of excessive focus on performance during engineering design process on creativity," *Int. J. Eng. Educ.*, 2019.